

Clinical Supervision: Providing Effective Supervision, Navigating Ethical Issues, and Managing Risks

Clinical
Supervision:
Legal, Ethical, and
Risk Management
Issues

Second Edition

George B. Haarman, Psy.D., LMFT



George B. Haarman, Psy.D., LMFT
gbhaarman@yahoo.com

Hirose and Associates

1

To comply with professional boards/association's standards, I declare that I do not have any financial relationship in any amount, occurring in the last 12 months, with a commercial interest whose products or services are discussed.

As required by several accrediting boards, speaker and activity planning committee conflicts of interest (including financial relationships with ineligible organizations) were disclosed prior to the start of this activity.

If anyone has any visual/auditory issues, please let the staff know through the chat button, and we will attempt to accommodate

Materials that are included in this course may include interventions and modalities that are beyond the authorized practice of mental health professionals. As a licensed professional, you are responsible for reviewing the scope of practice, including activities that are defined in the law as beyond the boundaries of practice in accordance with and in compliance with your profession's standards.

George B. Haarman, Psy.D., LMFT
gbhaarman@yahoo.com

2

Limits of the Research and Potential Risks

- ▶ Supervision is embedded in a **supervisory alliance** that underscores the **interpersonal strengths of the supervisor** and an obvious power differential in the relationship.
- ▶ Most supervisors believe that they are competent to supervise **because they were supervised** and many studies indicate that how they were supervised has the largest influence of their current supervision practice.
- ▶ The difficulty of **defining “successful” supervision** is ongoing and no one model of supervision has been shown as clearly the most successful approach to supervision.
- ▶ Adopting **any model of supervision** produces higher satisfaction of supervisees, but does not necessarily translate to **higher client outcomes**.

@George B. Haaman, Psy.D.

3

Clinical Supervision

COURSE SCHEDULE (Pacific Time)

- ▶ 8:30 – 10:00 Competency, Myths, Models, Formats, and Supervisory Contracts
- ▶ 10:00 – 10:15 Break
- ▶ 10:15 – 12:00 Common problems, Remediation Plans, and Evaluation
- ▶ 12:00 – 1:00 Lunch
- ▶ 1:00 – 2:30 Legal Issues, Standard of Care, Ethics Related to Supervision
- ▶ 2:30 – 2:45 Break
- ▶ 2:45 – 4:00 Dual Relationships, Risk Management,

4

Competent and Effective Supervision

5

Ten Myths about Clinical Supervision Campbell (2006)

1. If I am an **experienced** counselor or psychotherapist, I can be successful and effective as a supervisor.
2. True clinical supervision is strictly for the **review of cases**. If you give handouts or teach, that's training, not supervision.
3. If supervision is not going well, it's the **supervisee's fault**.
4. Supervision is only for the **beginners** or **inexperienced**. If you have to be supervised you must be deficient or **incompetent**.
5. Because supervisors are professionals, **diversity issues** do not have to be addressed.
6. The best feedback is direct. Tell it like you see it. There is no need to **coddle** supervisees.
7. A supervisee's **thoughts and feeling** are not relevant to learning.
8. Supervisors are **experts**, so it is important to make that clear and never admit to mistakes or that you don't know something.
9. Because supervisors are totally responsible for the actions of their supervisees, the supervisor's directions should **not be questioned**.
10. In order to avoid a dual relationship and becoming your supervisee's therapist, you shouldn't use your **therapy skills** in supervision.

6

Definition: Clinical supervision is the process of **reviewing and monitoring** practitioner's work to **increase their skills**, to help them **solve problems** in order to provide clients the optimal **quality of service** possible, and **prevent harm** from occurring. Campbell (2006)

Supervision – A Unique Relationship

- ▶ What sets supervision apart from other relationships is the **evaluative component**.
- ▶ Any non-voluntary component surfaces issues of **power, trust, safety, and control**.
- ▶ Originally Supervision was a **socialization** process to train new professionals
- ▶ Now supervision is not just for beginners, but an assurance of **ethical practice**, continued professional **growth**, an **evaluative** function, and a **gate keeping** process.
- ▶ **Supervision and Consultation** are fundamentally different.
 - Supervision occurs when you are **overseeing those who cannot legally do what they are doing without your oversight**. When supervising others, you have a legal responsibility for their actions. Everything else is consultation and should be labeled as such. APA Trust (2016)

7

Supervision vs. Consultation

Definition: A supervisee is any person who functions under the **extended authority** of the psychologist to provide, or while in training to provide, psychological services (ASPPB, 2003)

Definition: Clinical supervision is the process of **reviewing and monitoring** practitioner's work to **increase their skills**, to help them **solve problems** in order to provide clients the optimal **quality of service** possible, and **prevent harm** from occurring (Campbell, 2006).

Definition: Consultation occurs between **peers or between senior and junior** professionals, whereas supervision is provided by an individual with the person responsible for the supervisees work (Canadian Psychological Assoc, 2000). Consultation is an arrangement between **legal equals** in which the consultant provides a service, such as an **opinion on a particular case**, but the professional receiving the consultation has the **right to accept or reject** the opinion of the consultant (Knapp & VandeCreek, 2006).

8

Basic Components of the Competent Supervisor (Haynes et al, 2003)

- ▶ are **trained in supervision** and update skills.
- ▶ are trained and experienced in the areas of **clinical expertise** being supervised.
- ▶ have effective **interpersonal skills** (listening, feedback, challenging, setting boundaries, etc.)
- ▶ are aware that supervision is **process** and can adapt to individual needs.
- ▶ are able to assume a **variety of roles** and responsibilities
- ▶ stay focused on the fact that the primary goal of supervision is to **monitor** clinical services.
- ▶ are willing and relatively comfortable with serving the **evaluative function** and providing feedback
- ▶ have knowledge of **law, ethics**, and professional **regulations**
- ▶ **document** supervisory activities.
- ▶ **empower** supervisees through teaching, modeling, and problem solving.

9

Effective Supervisors, Campbell (2000, 2013) and Haynes (2003)

- ▶ Clarifies expectations and roles
- ▶ Is accessible and **available**
- ▶ Creates a **safe learning** environment
- ▶ Communicates directly and effectively
- ▶ Models appropriate ethical behavior
- ▶ Personally and professionally **mature**
- ▶ Awareness of **personal power** and **cultural** issues
- ▶ Sense of **humor** and empathy
- ▶ Aware of clinical, legal, and ethical issues
- ▶ Possesses good clinical skills
- ▶ Demonstrates **empathy, respect, and genuineness**
- ▶ Develops clear professional boundaries
- ▶ Respects knowledge that supervisees bring to supervision
- ▶ Values supervision as a **“protected time”**
- ▶ Provides honest, fair, and constructive feedback

10

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #1

- MEET ON A REGULARLY SCHEDULED BASIS

11

Top Ten Factors Contributing to “Best” and “Worst” Supervisors (Martino, 2001)

Best Supervisors

1. **Clinical knowledge** and expertise
2. Flexibility and **openness** to new ideas and approaches
3. Warm and supportive
4. Provides useful feedback and **constructive criticism**
5. Dedicated to training (development)
6. Good clinical insight
7. **Empathic**
8. Considers **countertransference**
9. Adheres to **ethical practices**
10. **Challenges** supervisees

12

Top Ten Factors Contributing to “Best” and “Worst” Supervisors (Martino, 2001)

Worst Supervisors

1. **Lacks interest** in supervision and professional development
2. **Unavailable**
3. Inflexible to new ideas or approaches to cases
4. **Limited clinical** knowledge and experience
5. **Unreliable**
6. Unhelpful/inconsistent feedback
7. **Punitive** or critical
8. Lacking empathy
9. Lack of **structure**
10. Lack of **ethics**

13

Supervisee Bill of Rights (Munson, 1993) Giordano, et al (2000)

Every clinical supervisee has the right to:

- ▶ a supervisor who **supervises consistently** and at regular intervals
- ▶ **growth oriented** supervision that respects personal privacy
- ▶ supervision that is **technically** sound and **theoretically** grounded
- ▶ be evaluated on criteria that are made **clear in advance** and evaluations that are based on **actual observation** of performance
- ▶ a supervisor who is adequately skilled in clinical practice and **trained in supervision** practice

14

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #2

- PRESENT THE EVALUATION CRITERIA AT THE FIRST MEETING

15

Models and Formats for Clinical Supervision

16

Administrative Supervision vs. Clinical Supervision

- ▶ Inherent Dual Relationship
 - Different Purposes
 - Different Models
 - Different Goals
 - Different Rules
- ▶ Four-Tiered Relationship
 - Needs of supervisee, Needs of their clients, Needs of the Supervisor, and Needs of the organization Bernard and Goodyear, (2013)

“The **clinical supervisor** has a dual investment in the **quality** of services and professional **development**....**administrative supervisor** focuses on **communication, protocol, personnel policy, and fiscal** issues.” Bernard & Goodyear (2009)

Canadian Psychological Association (2009) conceptualizes supervision as occurring on two levels: **Developmental** (Clinical) and **Administrative**. Developmental supervision has as its “primary objective facilitating **skill development** through education/training/mentoring. The administrative function is described as management that emphasizes **quality control**.”

17

Administrative Supervision

- ▶ **Fiduciary** Responsibility
- ▶ Operate on a **Business Management** Model
- ▶ Keep the **organizational system** functioning
- ▶ Hiring, firing, promotions, raises, productivity, workload, etc.
- ▶ Decisions are based on **good of the system**, not individuals
- ▶ Federal, State, Local labor laws, EEOC, regulations, contracts
- ▶ Evaluation is retrospective and **performance appraisal**

18

Clinical Supervision

- ▶ Develop **skills**, increase **competency**, and practice **ethically**
- ▶ **Training, mentoring, monitoring** model
- ▶ Corrective **feedback for improvement**
- ▶ **Interpret** Laws and Ethics
- ▶ Evaluation is ongoing and **formative**

19

“The Chair”

20

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #3

- WHENEVER POSSIBLE
SEPARATE ADMINISTRATIVE
SUPERVISION FROM
CLINICAL SUPERVISION

21

Models of Supervision

The No-model Model

- ▶ Do with supervisees what my supervisors did with me.
- ▶ Depends on supervisee's ability to identify problems
- ▶ Limited attempts to monitor, no planning, goals or objectives, and no teaching or instruction, reactive - putting out fires/problems

Apprentice-Master

- ▶ Trainees learned through observing skilled practitioners and then practicing under tutelage. It was a socialization process where the apprentice learned cultural norms and unwritten rules for profession.

The Expert Model

- ▶ Medical model - follow the expert around
- ▶ Report and critique has a "right-wrong" flavor to it selective to avoid critique
- ▶ Supervision is for beginners - additional supervision is punishment
- ▶ May be welcomed by beginners, but not experienced clinicians
- ▶ Top down model of supervision

22

Models of Supervision

The One-Size-Fits-All Model

- ▶ Direct the activities of supervisees regardless of experience or talent
- ▶ Top down model that treats everyone the same
- ▶ Ignores individual or developmental needs of supervisees

The Therapist-as-Patient Model

- ▶ Continue in a role (therapist) that we are comfortable with
- ▶ When mistakes occur, we look for pathology in supervisee (axis II)
- ▶ A model for treatment of deficits and not learning or development
- ▶ The focus should be on assisting the supervisee serve the client not on assisting the supervisee.

Parallel Process Model (Isomorphism)

- ▶ Supervisee's experience with clients will be reflected in relationship with supervisor and vice versa (Storm and Todd, 1997; Frawley-O'Dea & Sarnat, 2001; and Yorke, 2005).
- ▶ Relationships on any give level influence those on another level.
- ▶ Whatever is going on between the client and the supervisee will be reflected in the relationship between the supervisee and supervisor

23

Models of Supervision

Interactional Model

- ▶ Supervision is a reciprocal relationship based on mutuality of needs and having needs met in the supervisory relationship will result in needs being met in the client relationship (Shulman, 1993).
- ▶ Works well in situations where there is administrative supervision as well as clinical supervision.
- ▶ When supervisory relationship is going well and meeting supervisee's needs clients will receive excellent service.
- ▶ *Supervisory Working Alliance* (Bordin 1983) involves a collaborative relationship with agreed goals and objectives with strong emotional bond of caring, trust, and respect.

Relationship Model

- ▶ Supervisory relationship is the medium by which supervision occurs
- ▶ Supervision is a relationship impacted by issues of trust, safety, power, duality, culture, and contextual issues.
- ▶ Experienced supervisees view the supervisory relationship as the most important aspect of high quality supervision
- ▶ Kaiser (1997) identified four key elements to a supervisory relationship: accountability, personal awareness, trust and power, and use of authority

24

Models of Supervision

Developmental Models

- › *Supervisor Complexity Model* Watkins (1997), Inman and Ladany (2008), *Integrated Developmental Model* Stoltenberg and McNeil (1998 & 2009) and McNeil and Stoltenberg (2016) and *Discrimination Model* Bernard (1997)
- › Based on the premise that the supervisor, supervisee, and supervisory relationship change over time
- › Tailor supervision to the developmental needs of the supervisee
- › Individualize the supervision plans

Holistic Model (Campbell, 2000, 2013)

- › Focus is on the relationship with supervisees. Establishing trust and safety
- › Positive regard, congruence, acceptance, trust, and authenticity
- › Systems approach emphasizing parallel processes
- › Strength based approach and utilizes a developmental perspective
- › Includes the supervisee as a colleague and does not solely focus on problems

25

Models of Supervision

Two Basic Approaches

Generic Models – not based on psychotherapy models but focuses on acquiring skills

- aids and techniques for learning and training of supervision in its own right
- overriding importance of the **supervisory relationship**

Psychotherapy Based Models – extensions of psychotherapy theories

- psychodynamic, humanistic, behavioral, cognitive-behavioral, systemic, etc.
- Bernard and Goodyear (1998) argue that while there is significant **overlap between supervision and therapy**, there are substantial drawbacks as **therapeutic models are too narrow to structure and conceptualize supervision**
- draws on theory and research in psychotherapy and **practitioner experience**

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

26

Models of Supervision

Other Major Models of Supervision Currently in Use

- Psychodynamic Supervision (Sarnat, 2016)
- Competency-Based Supervision (Falendar & Shafranske, 2017)
- Feminist Psychotherapy Model of Supervision (Brown, 2016)
- Systems Approach to Supervision (Holloway, 2017)
- Critical Events in Psychotherapy Supervision Model (Ladany, Friedlander, & Nelson, 2016)
- Existential-Humanistic Therapy Supervision Model (Krug & Schneider, 2017)
- Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy Supervision Model (Newman & Kaplan, 2016)

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

27

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #4

- BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY AND DEMONSTRATE TRAINING IN A PARTICULAR MODEL OF SUPERVISION

28

Supervisory Formats and Techniques

29

Individual Supervision

- ▶ Traditionally dating back to Freud
- ▶ Most typically one hour of individual face-to-face per week for licensure boards
- ▶ Supervisor provides the structure and format in consultation with supervisee – *How do you want to use your supervision time today? How can I be most helpful today?*
- ▶ Provide topical learning on specific issues (instructional)
- ▶ Overly problem focused – *What went right this week? What did you do well with that client?*
- ▶ **Advantages:** individualized, safer, more willing to risk
- ▶ **Disadvantages:** inaccurate view of supervisee, **supervisor bias**, **supervisee deception**, repetitive/boring, over-reliance on self-report

30

Group Supervision

- ▶ Common issues no matter what format: managing group dynamics, building group cohesion, establishing a structure, rules/boundaries, and confidentiality
- ▶ Valuable as **adjunct** to individual supervision
- ▶ Practical use of time and resources
- ▶ Keep administrative supervision and clinical supervision separate
- ▶ Emphasize the purpose of the supervision group as way to help clients
- ▶ Same advantages, disadvantages, problems, and opportunities that apply to **group therapy** generally are issues for Group Supervision
- ▶ Group Size - rule of thumb, **4 to 8, 15 minutes** per supervisee
- ▶ **Composition** - Similarity of experience and background, similarity of client populations, advantages/disadvantages
- ▶ **Allocation of Time** - rotating case presentation, dividing time, open-ended
- ▶ **Confidentiality** - Complete confidentiality cannot be guaranteed to members or clients, Administrative Evaluation, procedures for handling confidential information
- ▶ Leadership Style - Most effective leader may not be supervisor, duality of supervisor participation

31

Team Supervision

- ▶ Can **diffuse the dual relationship** and prevent abuse of power or conflict
- ▶ Treatment team can watch tape or use mirror and provide feedback and direction
- ▶ **Rotations** through various settings
- ▶ Homogeneity of members from one discipline can lessen friction and conflict, but **limit creativity**
- ▶ **Advantages:** feedback from **different supervisors expands supervisee's** repertoire, **minimize conflict** or dual relationships, promote staff unity and cooperation, and generativity/revitalization
- ▶ **Disadvantages:** **time** commitment, **planning** intensive, transference/**countertransference** can impact supervision team

32

Peer Supervision

- ▶ Provides opportunity for supervisees to work together
- ▶ Requires clear learning objectives and tight boundaries
- ▶ **Cannot be used as a substitute** for clinical supervision, but as an adjunct
- ▶ Leaderless, rotating leadership, assigned leadership, emerging leadership
- ▶ Requires **some degree of equality**, similarity of caseloads, high level of experience and expertise
- ▶ **Advantages:** **defuse problems of power**, encourage independence and self-monitoring, **teamwork** and group cohesion, and buffer a conflicted situation with a supervisor.
- ▶ **Disadvantages:** loss of control, potential for misuse/**scapegoating**, and intensive **planning**/organizational effort (someone has to drive the bus)

33

Case Consultation

- ▶ Typically based on verbal self-report of supervisee
- ▶ Supervisors role is primarily to **ask questions, make suggestions**, and discuss options and plan interventions
- ▶ This is often an opportunity to ask thought-provoking, reframing questions to push for growth
- ▶ **Advantages:** forces supervisee to **organize information, conceptualize** problems, make assessments, decide on interventions, consider larger context and ethics, develop a theoretical framework and integrate that into practice, process relationship issues, and promote self-awareness
- ▶ **Disadvantages:** **self-report** is subject to deception or distortion, depends on **conceptualization** and observational abilities of supervisee, expects supervisees to identify potential problems and share mistakes, vulnerabilities, and difficulties
- ▶ To avoid potential liability, must always be supplemented with some form of **direct observation**

34

Live Supervision

- ▶ Observational: sitting in, one-way mirror
- ▶ Interactional: co-therapy, phone-in, earbuds, demonstrating/modeling
- ▶ Tips for Live Supervision
 - Explain to supervisee and client the purpose of the observation
 - Gain permission from the client
 - Ask supervisee and client what will limit intrusion (where to sit)
 - Don't take over the session
 - Plan time to process the session immediately
 - Limit criticism and focus on strengths
 - Consider supervisee and client anxiety

35

Recording Sessions

- ▶ People may be excessively worried about **real life issues** of recording (court, privacy, access, etc.)
- ▶ Reviewing recorded sessions promotes **self-awareness** and self-correction
- ▶ Discuss thoroughly the issue of recording with client and obtain informed consent
- ▶ **Informed consent** should include how the recording will be used, who will see it or hear it, if it will be available in staffings or seminars, how the recording will be physically safeguarded, and a timetable for destruction of the media.
- ▶ When reviewing recordings of supervisees, have goals and structure for reviewing, respect confidentiality, and have supervisee provide a **context** for the session.

36

Telesupervision

- ▶ Computer technology presents a whole new set of confidentiality issues as well as supervisory innovation.
- ▶ Computer **security** of on-line data, storage, etc.
- ▶ Supervision via **e-mail** increases the possibility of miscommunication and missing non-verbal cues.
- ▶ May not satisfy licensing and regulation requirements. Clear in advance. **Jurisdictional Issues if not Licensed in State of Supervisee**
- ▶ Infinite number of possibilities in terms of **additional perspectives and feedback**
- ▶ With infinite possibilities comes the potential for additional issues and problems

37

Telesupervision

Martin et al. (2017) stated that while telesupervision offers an opportunity to overcome distance, access, and time, the improved access to technology and conductivity does not necessarily equate to high-quality supervision.

The Ten Commandments for Telesupervision.

1. Expectations and **goals** for telesupervision
2. There is no **one size fits all** to telesupervision.
3. Embed telesupervision into a **sound supervisory model**.
4. Focus on the supervisory relationship and meet **face-to-face before beginning** telesupervision
5. Formulate a plan to manage **technical problems**.
6. Pay attention to **communication**, use of silence, speaking etiquette
7. Rethink **continuity and availability** and ensure supervisor availability
8. **Security, safety, and confidentiality** – strong passwords, back-ups, and phishing attacks
9. Allow for **additional time** before supervision and after supervision to deal with technical issues
10. Review telesupervision arrangement regularly and **adapt as appropriate**

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

38

The Telesupervision Relationship

Not necessarily same relationship as with **in vivo supervisory relationships**, but research suggesting it is as satisfactory as traditional, in-person supervision processes (Bernard et. al, 2020)

Expectations for **appearance and professionalism** (dress code, simultaneous activities, distractions, lack of planning and preparation).

Maintaining **confidentiality** at both ends.

Alternative formats for dealing with problems/ethics/ unique issues

Frequent **360 feedback sessions**.

Insure that telesupervision is covered in **Informed Consent**.

Documentation of telesupervision.

Periodic face-to-face meetings (assessment of skills, resolving issues or conflicts, logistics, performance improvement plans, etc.)

Consider Credentialing: **Approved Clinical Supervisor Telesupervision Credential CCE**

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

39

Ethical Considerations with Telesupervision

- ▶ Telesupervision, relying on technology, adds another layer of supervisory ethical concerns that include 1) **confidentiality**, 2) **informed consent**, 3) **competency**, and 4) **regulatory issues** (Grames et al., 2022)
- ▶ Telesupervision takes place in cyberspace where there are **no 100% guarantees of privacy**. Even with encryption software, there are risks of security breaches. Use **HIPPA compliant websites** and cloud storage. Ensure that supervisor and supervisee **physical space is private**. Don't **verbalize** client's identity or last name.
- ▶ **Informed consent for both supervisee and client is required**. Clients are informed that **telesupervision is being utilized** and their information is **transmitted electronically** to the supervisor.
- ▶ Supervisors are expected to be **competent in clinical issues and supervision**. Therefore, they are expected to be competent in the **ethics in providing clinical services online**.
- ▶ Jurisdictional issues. May require **a license in the supervisee's state**. May or may not be acceptable for **required hours for licensure**.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

40

Documentation

- ▶ Never destroy or **rewrite supervisory notes** (state requirements for retention)
- ▶ Never alter records **after the fact**
- ▶ Have a **note for each supervisory contact** (including contact outside normal supervision)
- ▶ **Document directives** given to supervisees
- ▶ **Be objective** (assume that others might read them)
- ▶ Document **factual** details (**particularly in bad outcomes**)
- ▶ Document **peer consultation sessions – Running it past Bill**
- ▶ Document **telephone calls, emails, post-its, unusual contacts or events**

Haarman template for Supervision Notes

1. **Date** of Supervision
2. Individuals **present** (**came late or left early**)
3. Actual **cases discussed** with summary of case review
4. Supervisory **directives or instructions**. What is to be done on the case
5. **Signature**

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

41

Didactic Supervision

- ▶ The primary focus of supervision is not teaching, but teaching new skills and improving service delivery
- ▶ Spending some part of supervision discussing “hot topics,” particularly ethical dilemmas is appropriate
- ▶ Maintaining a list of discussion topics as a “filler” for supervision time can be a way of passing on skills and insights

42

Clinical Supervision Contract

43

Developing a Supervisory Contract

- ▶ Purpose, Goals and Objectives
- ▶ Context of Services
- ▶ Methods of Evaluation
- ▶ Duties and Responsibilities
- ▶ Procedures
- ▶ Supervisor's Scope of Competence
- ▶ Sample Supervision Contract

44

Supervision Goals and Objectives

- ▶ The first building block of successful supervision is identifying **goals for supervision**.
 - Increase opportunity for success and **a satisfying experience**
 - Minimize opportunity for **misunderstandings and conflict**
 - Provide structure for feedback and evaluation and a **measure of progress**
- ▶ **“What”** questions allow you to establish Goals (i.e. What skills do you need to improve on? What would allow you to provide better services? What additional knowledge or experience is required? etc.)
- ▶ **“How”** questions allow you to establish Objectives for achieving Goals (i.e. How can joining skills be enhanced? How will you develop a larger repertoire of interventions with dual diagnosis individuals? etc)

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

45

Supervision Goals and Objectives

- ▶ Sample questions **for goal setting**
 - *What would you like to get out of supervision?*
 - *What areas of practice would you like to learn more or improve your skills?*
 - *What needs to happen in supervision to make it worth your time?*
 - *What is the one thing you would like to take away from this supervisory experience?*
 - *What would you like to be different about your skill set one year from now?*
 - *What would you like to be different about yourself that would help you most with clients?*
- ▶ Sample questions **for establishing objectives**
 - *How will the supervisee's range of interventions be expanded?*
 - *How will the supervisee become more comfortable with anger in sessions?*
 - *How will the supervisee incorporate birth order into therapy?*
 - *How will the supervisee gain experience in working with couples?*
 - *How will the supervisee improve documentation skills?*
 - *How will the supervisee obtain expertise in learning disabilities?*

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

46

Sample Supervision Contract

- Supervisor _____
- Phone _____
- Emergency Phone Numbers _____
- E-mail _____
- Supervisee _____
- Phone _____
- Emergency Phone Numbers _____
- E-mail _____

Purpose: The purpose of this supervision is to review and monitor services being provided by the supervisee, to increase the supervisee's skills, to provide the supervisee with guidance and a format for problem solving related to service provision, to provide high quality services to our clients, and to insure the safety of clients, and to satisfy the clinical supervision requirement of the _____ University and _____

Supervisor Responsibility

- The supervisor agrees to provide clinical supervision to the supervisee for _____ hours per week of individual face-to-face supervision and _____ hours per month of group supervision for the Fall and Spring semesters as required by _____ University.
- The supervisor agrees to complete evaluation forms, time sheets, verification of supervision and other forms required by the University. A formal mid-year and final evaluation will be completed by the supervisor and a copy will be provided to the supervisee and the University.
- The supervisor agrees to conduct an on-site, formal case record review of 25 percent of all cases each quarter and provide the supervisee with the results of the review.
- The supervisor will make appropriate contact with University Supervisors at the specified intervals to provide them information as to the supervisee's progress.
- As supervisor I will make a recommendation as to the supervisee's grade, but responsibility for the final grade rests with the University.
- As your supervisor, I am obligated to serve as a gatekeeper for the profession. Should you fail to demonstrate competency at a level consistent with your professional (developmental level), I will inform the University, and a joint meeting will be held to discuss the specific issues and a plan for remediation will be developed. Should the supervisee fail to remediate these issues they may be removed from the site.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

47

Sample Supervision Contract

Supervisee Responsibilities

- The supervisee agrees to take supervision seriously and professionally, come prepared to discuss specific cases, bring copies of the case file to be discussed, and have available a sample of videotape to be reviewed.
- The supervisee will complete client documentation in a timely fashion and keep a supervision log of issues discussed in supervision and any directives given by the supervisor regarding the specific case.
- The supervisee will act, dress, and conduct themselves in a professional manner, and to adhere to the ethical code for psychologists as outlined in the American Psychological Association's Ethical Code.
- The supervisee acknowledges that they have been provided a copy of the *Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct (2010)*, the Informed Consent Agreement, and Goals and Objectives for Supervision for the first semester. Goals and Objectives for Supervision will be revised at the beginning of the second semester.
- The supervisee agree to immediately bring to the supervisor's attention any issues or behaviors that may have an ethical component or legal implications.
- The supervisee understands that **sexual contact and significant interpersonal relationships with clients of any kind is absolutely forbidden**; however, sexual feelings toward clients is not uncommon and should be discussed with the supervisor.
- Any problems or disagreements in client care that develop between the supervisor and the supervisee will be discussed fully in supervision. In the event that those issues cannot be resolved satisfactorily, the supervisee understands that he/she has the availability of requesting a conference with _____ to help resolve the dispute or difficulty.
- The supervisee agrees to act professionally at the site and to take responsibility to address any performance issues that may impact providing the clients with high quality professional services.
- The content of our sessions will be considered **private, but not confidential**, except for the following: 1) the completion of the summative evaluation in the format prescribed by the University; 2) any situation where the treatment of a client violates legal or ethical standards; 3) any situation when problems or disagreements between us do not seem resolvable and outside consultation is required; and 4) situations where disciplinary action or termination of the supervisee is being considered.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

48

Sample Supervision Contract

On-Site Supervisor Responsibilities

- ▶ The supervisor is not responsible for the supervisee's administrative performance or the number or types of cases being assigned by the on-site supervisor _____. The on-site supervisor will be responsible for directing the day-to-day activities and job requirements of the site. It is understood that the supervisor will consult with the on-site supervisor regarding specific job behavior and that performance issues may be a component of ongoing supervision as it relates to client care and the evaluation.

Supervisory Goals and Objectives

- ▶ ***Goal I: The supervisee will increase knowledge, skills, and ability to conduct an initial interview.***
 - Objective 1: Supervisee will be provided opportunity to attend a workshop on interviewing techniques by January, 2021.
 - Objective 2: Supervisor and Supervisee will review at least two tapes per month of initial intakes and the supervisee will be provided appropriate feedback
 - Objective 3: Supervisee will read *Initial Intake Interview* by Jay Haley by March, 2021 and discuss it with the supervisor.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

49

Sample Supervision Contract

- ▶ ***Goal II: The supervisee will increase his knowledge regarding substance abuse***

- Objective 1: The supervisee will meet every other week on Tuesday at 10:00 a.m with Dr. Quentin Tarentino to discuss current substance abuse treatment theory.
- Objective 2: The supervisee will attend the substance abuse treatment team meetings as an observer on Friday mornings at the designated time.

- ▶ ***Goal III: The supervisee will improve case documentation***

- Objective 1: The supervisee will review agency policy and procedures regarding proper documentation and discuss this with the supervisor at regularly scheduled meeting on October 5, 2022.
- Objective 2: The supervisor will randomly select 10% of the supervisee's case files for documentation review each quarter and provide the supervisee a written report of the review.
- Objective 3: The supervisee will attend the agency risk management orientation within the first quarter of employment.

- ▶ Supervisor_____Date_____

- ▶ Supervisee_____Date_____

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

50

Case Study I

Ned Newbie has just been hired by your community mental health agency and assigned to you for both administrative and clinical supervision working in a child abuse treatment program. He recently received his MSSW, but has had very limited experience other than a practicum at a nursing home for senior citizens. Ned has always been a very good student and attained one of the highest scores on the State Personnel test for caseworkers.

Ned stated that he took the job because he wanted to "make a real difference and not just sit on the sideline." He talked about his childhood as being an unhappy one where his parents were "not physically abusive, but were emotionally distant and so committed to their careers that they were often absent and uninvolved in his life." He saw himself as wanting to "rescue these poor children from bad parents who were neglectful and abusive." He states that it really makes him "personally angry at seeing a child who is abused."

Ned's concept of supervision is very limited and the supervision he received on his practicum was very primitive and not clinically focused. When asked what he wanted to get out of supervision, Ned was not very clear except that he hoped supervision would make him better at his job and enhance his ability to "keep kids from being abused." He had no idea what set of skills he needed to improve on to function on the job. He was willing to do "whatever you tell me that I need to do."

He identified that his strengths were his energy and enthusiasm, and he had a more troublesome time identifying weaknesses other than he didn't really know what the job would require. He also said that he was somewhat of a perfectionist and it was difficult for him to hear and accept that he might have made a mistake. He stated that he had seen the NASW *Code of Ethics* in graduate school, but was not sure how that would play out in terms of "the main focus of keeping kids from being abused." He was willing to "go through supervision to meet the requirements for his LCSW."

51

Supervisory Contract

Supervisor Responsibilities

1. -----
-
2. -----
-
3. -----
-
4. -----
-
5. -----
-

Supervisee Responsibilities

1. -----
-
2. -----
-
- -

52

Supervision Goals and Objectives

Goal I: _____
Objective I: _____
Objective II: _____
Objective III: _____

Goal II: _____
Objective I: _____
Objective II: _____
Objective III: _____

Goal III: _____
Objective I: _____
Objective II: _____
Objective III: _____

Goal IV: _____
Objective I: _____
Objective II: _____
Objective III: _____

53

Supervision Goals and Objectives

Goal I: To increase knowledge base regarding children's emotional development and functioning

Objective I: Supervisor will provide an article each week in supervision regarding developmental psychology for the supervisee to read and discuss at next supervision meeting.

Objective II: Supervisor and supervisee will identify a continuing education conference for understanding the impact of trauma in children to be attended by supervisee.

Objective III: Supervisor will identify two books and five articles for Supervisee to read and discuss with supervisor regarding the provision of Child Protective Services by June 30, 2023

Goal II: To increase knowledge regarding services to children and families in abusive situations

Objective I: Supervisee will sit in on 20 sessions provided by Sr. Clinician by March 31, 2023.

Objective II: Supervisee will review and summarize 50 case files and discuss them with supervisor by May 1, 2023

Objective III: Supervisee will accompany the Sr. Clinician on 20 home visits by May 1, 2023

Goal III: To identify any possible transference and countertransference issues that may impact service provision.

Objective I: Through review of recordings of case work, supervisor and supervisee will identify possible transference/countertransference issues

Objective II: Supervisee will complete a genogram of his own family by June 30, 2023 and identify possible transference and countertransference issues with supervisor.

Objective III: Supervisor and supervisee will explore the possible benefit of individual therapy to be provided through the EAP program and reach a decision about individual therapy by September 1, 2023.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

54

Problematic Issues in Supervision

55

Problem Solving in Supervision: The 8000 Pound Elephant in the Room

- ▶ **Anxiety on part of Supervisee** – feedback, competence, evaluation, interaction
- ▶ **Duality of Relationship** – role conflict, role ambiguity, administrative control, attraction
- ▶ **Cultural, Age, and Gender Issues** – disciplines, theoretical perspectives, values
- ▶ **Lack of clarity about supervision process** – goals, methods, techniques
- ▶ **Personal Issues** – transference/countertransference, lifestyle and habits, professionalism
- ▶ **Systems and Organizational Issues** – organizational climate, norms, regulations, religious
- ▶ **Burnout** – stress, unresponsiveness to clients, empathy fatigue

56

Common Problems to Address in Supervision

- ▶ **Dress and Appearance** – focus on impact on client
- ▶ Sexual Attraction – supervisees may be reluctant to discuss
- ▶ **Documentation** – the Achilles Heel
- ▶ Relational Difficulties between Supervisee and Supervisor
 - ▶ Anxiety – power differential, safety, organizational change
 - ▶ Transference and Countertransference – authority issues
- ▶ Differences in **theoretical perspectives**
- ▶ Differences in **needs and goals** – paperwork
- ▶ Differences in personality and style– structure and monitoring
- ▶ **Multicultural Differences**
 - Supervisor's attitudes, beliefs, values, biases
 - Knowledge of diverse groups and sociopolitical influences
 - Skills, techniques, and strategies for supervising diverse populations
- ▶ **Environmental Factors and Organizational Climate** – tough world, putting out fires and not focusing on growth.
- ▶ **Stress, Burnout, Compassion Fatigue** – secondary trauma, disengagement, reframing *help*

57

Dealing with Problematic Supervisees

- ▶ **Depersonalize** the issue by connecting it to client care
- ▶ Reiterate the purpose of goals and supervision
- ▶ Ask for **supervisee's perception** of the problem or issue
- ▶ Make the problem **situational, not characterological**
- ▶ Brainstorm actual solutions with supervisee
- ▶ Develop an **action plan** with specific steps to change
- ▶ **Compartmentalize** the problem – focusing on specific problems, not broad issues
- ▶ Ask the supervisee to brainstorm solutions to the problem
- ▶ **Process** anger, anxiety, resistance, apathy, and externalization in context of **impact on services** and on getting the most out of supervision

58

Supervisee Limitations

Developing Self-Awareness

- ▶ One important aspect of clinical supervision is the development of self-awareness on the part of supervisees: how personal issues, beliefs, assumptions, and attitudes – particularly gender, culture, and race might affect client care. Griffith and Frieden (2000) used the term **reflective thinking** to describe a process of self-examination whereby therapists explore theories, beliefs, and assumptions to better respond to their clients.

Three Steps for Ethical Self-Exploration with Supervisees

- ▶ Promote self-exploration through utilizing a variety of **"non-therapy looking" techniques** such as journaling, genograms, videotaping, experiential exercises, etc.
- ▶ **Connect** any self-awareness to specific clients and issues with specific clients. Look for both positive and negative impact on clients.
- ▶ Prompt supervisees to explore options for change as a result of self-awareness **outside supervisory context**.

59

Professional Development Plan

- ▶ Include supervisees in establishing goals – get **buy in**.
- ▶ Consider **developmental level** of supervisee
- ▶ Establish goals by deciding what competencies will be focused on in supervision
- ▶ Commit goals to **writing**
- ▶ Make goals realistic and attainable
- ▶ Prioritize goals
- ▶ Divide goals into components: knowledge, skills, self-awareness
- ▶ Select a **variety of methods** to achieve each goal
- ▶ Operationalize goals through **indicators of success** – prep for evaluation

60

Professional Development Plan

Name_____ Position_____ Date_____

Skill Requiring Improvement

Present Level of Competence: Circle One

Understands	Developing	Competent	Skilled	Master
1	2	3	4	5

Present a rationale for this rating

Expected Level of Competency to be achieved by_____, 2013

Understands	Developing	Competent	Skilled	Master
1	2	3	4	5

61

List the knowledge, skills, and attitudes to achieve target competency

Knowledge_____

Skills_____

Attitudes_____

Behaviors necessary to achieve practice skills

Activities counselor will complete to achieve stated goals

How will progress be evaluated? How will proficiency be demonstrated?

Supervisor Signature_____ Date_____

Supervisee Signature_____ Date_____

62

Professional Development Plan

Name **Josephine Doe** Position **Therapist I** Date **3/1/ 2012**

Skill Requiring Improvement

Assessment of potential for violence and suicide in substance abusing clients

Present Level of Competence: Circle One

Understands	Developing	Competent	Skilled	Master
1*	2	3	4	5

Present a rationale for this rating

Worker has failed to complete the CHR-21A (Assessment of Risk Form) on at least five recent cases. Form 21-A has been completed without appropriate rationale or justification in at least seven instances. Two case in which clients have acted in assaultive fashion were not documented at the time of resident review staffing.

Expected Level of Competency to be achieved by **July 1, 2013**

Understands	Developing	Competent	Skilled	Master
1	2	3*	4	5

63

List the knowledge, skills, and attitudes to achieve target competency

Knowledge review the proper utilization of the 21-A and be able to identify risk factors

Skills Conduct a structured interview that addresses issues of risk for violence or suicide

Attitudes Sensitivity to issues of violence and suicide

Behaviors necessary to achieve practice skills Conduct an effective interview with clients that will allow satisfactory completion of Risk Assessment

Activities counselor will complete to achieve stated goals Review policy and procedure manual regarding procedures of risk assessment. Ongoing discussions with supervisor about risk assessment.

How will progress be evaluated? How will proficiency be demonstrated? Be capable of explaining the use of risk assessment form and procedures. Accurately complete the Risk assessment in 95% of all cases. Review of interview tapes will result in satisfactory approval by supervisor. Conversations with supervisor will demonstrate an appreciation of the importance of completing Risk Assessment.

Supervisor Signature _____ Date _____

Supervisee Signature _____ Date _____

64

Evaluation

- ▶ Supervisors are ethically bound to provide a **fair, objective, and accurate** evaluation of supervisees.
- ▶ Supervisees are **entitled** to receive a fair evaluation.
- ▶ Avoiding dealing with uncomfortable evaluation issues could have **legal** implications as it relates to employment or licensure. The lack of timely feedback has become the most common basis for a **formal ethics complaint** regarding supervision (Koocher and Keith-Speigel, 1998)
- ▶ Evaluation is an **ongoing process** not an event. Performance Appraisal is an **event**.
- ▶ Evaluation provides opportunities for **remediation**, specific **criteria** for successful completion, methods of assessment, and a **time frame** for improvement or completion.
- ▶ How the evaluation/feedback is handled is **core to a positive supervisory experience** (Lehrman and Ladany, 2001)

65

Evaluation

Evaluation Process Four-Step

- Establish **Goals and Objectives** for Supervision
- Provide **ongoing feedback** in supervision
- Provide more **formal feedback** at set intervals
- **Final evaluation** formalizes performance for a specified time period

66

Evaluation

- ▶ Examine your **own experiences of evaluation** and identify roadblocks to a constructive experience
- ▶ Be clear from orientation about the **process and methods of evaluation**
- ▶ Utilize **multiple formats, methods, and techniques**
- ▶ Supervisee is informed about **who will be involved** in the evaluation and **who will receive** information from the evaluation
- ▶ Supervisee receives **periodic and ongoing informal feedback** about progress to achieving criteria
- ▶ Supervisee is provided with opportunities to fail, opportunities to be successful, and constructive feedback and suggestions toward meeting criteria (a **developmental perspective**)
- ▶ Describe in behavioral terms what supervisee is being evaluated on. **Focus on behavior, not personality**
- ▶ Be realistic and allow for mistakes
- ▶ Include information from other sources, particularly if there is any duality of relationship
- ▶ Focus on *mastery, obstacles, options, improvements, growth opportunities*

67

Evaluation

Formative Feedback versus Summative Assessment (Falendar 2004)

Formative Evaluation

- Assist in skill refinement
- Identification of issues that impede clinical practice
- Corrective feedback

Summative Evaluation

- Objective assessment of competence
- Rating of performance on specific goals

68

Evaluation

Formative Feedback (Freeman, 1985)

- ▶ **Ongoing**: occurs throughout the period of supervision
- ▶ **Informal**: not formally documented
- ▶ **Systematic**: consistent, objective, reliable
- ▶ **Timely**: provided in close proximity to event
- ▶ **Clear**: explicit and objective criteria
- ▶ **Descriptive**: behaviors and actions
- ▶ **Tentative**: offered for consideration rather than mandated
- ▶ **Constructive**: suggestions or alternatives
- ▶ **Selective**: developmentally appropriate for experience level

Summative Feedback increases liability issues as they are often the basis for employment, promotion, tenure or credentialing. Formal evaluations incur ethical and legal liability for supervisors.

69

Evaluation

Areas to Assess in Evaluation (Haynes et al, 2003)

- ▶ Assessment knowledge and skills
- ▶ Intervention knowledge and skills
- ▶ Relationships with staff and clients
- ▶ Responsiveness to supervision
- ▶ Awareness of limitations and knowing when to ask for help
- ▶ Communication skills
- ▶ Ethical and legal practice
- ▶ Multicultural competence
- ▶ Judgment and maturity
- ▶ Openness to personal development
- ▶ Compliance with agency policies and procedures

70

Evaluation

Steps for Handling a Negative Evaluation

- Make sure criteria for success has been **clearly defined**
- Give and **document frequent formative feedback** and assistance
- Utilize **multiple methods** – more than self-report and case consultation
- **Consult** with another supervisor – supervision of supervision
- Advise them in advance of a **probable negative** outcome
- Be prepared for a negative reaction by the supervisee, particularly in terminations – **gauge your reaction and limit comments – how would this appear in court**

71

Legal, Ethical, and Risk Management Issues

72

Legal Primer for Mental Health Practitioners (Falvey, 2001)

Standard of Care – The normative or expected practice performed in a given situation by a given group of professionals.

Statutory Liability – Specific written standards with penalties imposed, written directly into the law.

Negligence – When one fails to observe the proper standard of care.

Direct Liability – Being responsible for your own actions or authority and control over others.

Vicarious Liability – Being responsible for the actions of others based on a position of authority and control.

73

Standard of Care

Standard of care is **emerging** from case law, but there is no clear definition within professions or between professions. Saccuzzo (1997) identified five major principles that were repeatedly found in **statutes, case law, ethical codes, and professional literature**:

- Competence
- Confidentiality
- Dual Relationship
- Welfare of Consumer
- Informed Consent

Standards of Care for Supervision that can be extracted from case law, ethics, statutes, and clinical practice include:

- Supervising only within **your area of competence**
- Providing appropriate **feedback and evaluation**
- Consistently **monitoring and controlling** supervisee's activities
- Accurately **documenting** supervisory activities
- Providing **consistent and timely supervision**.

74

Professional Standards for Clinical Supervision

- ❖ AAMFT
http://www.aamft.org/imis15/Documents/Approved/Approved_Supervisor_handbook.pdf
- ❖ APA
American Psychological Association. (2025). *Guidelines for clinical supervision in health service psychology. American Psychologist, 70,33-46.*
- ❖ NASW
National Association of Social Workers and Association of Social Work Boards. (2013). *Best practice in social work supervision.*
<http://www.socialworkers.org/practice/naswstandards/supervisionstandards2013.pdf>
- ❖ The Association for Counselor Education and Supervision (ACES) Best Practices in Clinical Supervision Task Force (Borders et al., 2011)
<http://www.acesonline.net/wp-content/uploads/2011/10/ACESBest-Practices-in-clinical-supervision-document-FINAL.pdf>

75

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #1

- ONLY SUPERVISE
ACTIVITY WITHIN YOUR
PROFESSIONAL
COMPETENCE

76

Negligence/Malpractice

- Malpractice is professional negligence and is therefore a *tort*. To establish that a supervisor has acted negligently, there are four legal criteria:
- ▶ **Duty** – established by nature of relationship or statute
 - ▶ **Breach** – violation of a duty or standard of care that was foreseeable and unreasonable
 - ▶ **Causation** – breach of duty or care was direct or proximate cause of the injury
 - ▶ **Damage** – physical, financial, or emotional injury as a result of foregoing three criteria
 - ▶ A **preponderance of evidence** is essential for a successful malpractice suit and must demonstrate the “four D’s” ***Dereliction of a Duty Directly causing Damages.***

77

Negligence/Malpractice

Supervisory malpractice involves lawsuits filed for allegedly violating professional practice standards by a supervisee or a client against a supervisor. Failure to **adequately supervise students or assistants** is one of the ten most common causes of malpractice. (Stromberg and Dellinger, 1993).

Disciplinary actions by state boards reported that inadequate or improper supervision ranked **fifth** in frequency among violations (Reaves, (1998), Harris (2003)).

Bennett et al. (1990) described four criteria of malpractice Guest and Dooley (1999) expanded these concepts in the context of supervision:

- A professional **relationship was formed** between the supervisor and supervisee
- There is a demonstrable **standard of care**, and the supervisor **breached** that standard
- The supervisee suffered demonstrable **harm or injury**
- The supervisor’s breach of duty to practice within the standard of care was the **proximate cause** (reasonably foreseeable) of the supervisee’s injury

78

Direct versus Vicarious Liability

Legal Liability (Johnson, 1995; Saccuzo, 2002) permeates all three areas of supervisory responsibility: client welfare, professional development, and gatekeeping.

"The supervisee is, legally, an agent of the supervising psychologist." (Knapp & Vandecreek, 2006).

Direct Liability is based on erroneous actions or omissions on the part of the supervisor. Harrar, Vandecreek, and Knapp (1990) summarized direct liability as:

- ▶ **dereliction** in carrying out supervisory responsibility of a supervisee's work
- ▶ giving a supervisee **inappropriate treatment recommendations** that the supervisee implements to the client's detriment
- ▶ **failure to listen carefully** to a supervisee's comments, therefore failing to comprehend the client's needs
- ▶ assigning tasks to supervisee's whom the supervisor knew, or should have known, was **inadequately trained**.

79

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #2

- LISTEN CAREFULLY TO SUPERVISEES AND DOCUMENT SUPERVISION

80

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #3

- ONLY ASSIGN SUPERVISEES TASKS THAT THEY ARE COMPETENT TO PERFORM

81

Direct versus Vicarious Liability

Supervisors are held **directly responsible** for negligent supervisory practices, which may include the following:

- ▶ Allowing a supervisee to practice **outside your and his/her scope** of practice
- ▶ Not providing **consistent time** for supervision
- ▶ Lack of **emergency coverage** and procedures
- ▶ Not providing clear expectations or a **supervisory contract**
- ▶ Lack of appropriate **assessment** of the supervisee and/or clients
- ▶ Lack of **monitoring** of the supervisee's practice and/or documentation
- ▶ Lack of consistent **feedback** prior to evaluation
- ▶ Violation of professional **boundaries**

82

Vicarious Liability

Vicarious Liability is based on the concepts of *respondeat superior*, *borrowed servant rule*, or *enterprise liability*.

Respondeat Superior – liability for a supervisee's actions attaches because the supervisor has **authority and control** even if they lack specific knowledge about the case. Liability attaches whether or not the supervisor breaches a duty. "One who occupies a position of authority or direct control over another (such as a master and servant, employer and employee, or supervisor and supervisee) can be held legally liable for the damages of another suffered as a result of the negligence of the subordinate." (Disney and Stephens, 1994).

Borrowed Servant – liability attaches to the person who had **control** of the supervisee at the time of the negligent act. There is debate regarding the amount of control (indirect or clinical supervisor versus direct or administrative supervisor).

Enterprise Liability – liability attaches to the extent that the supervisor or organization benefits or **profits from the work** of the supervisee.

(California Board of Psychology, 2008) prohibition of **supervision for pay** of prospective licensees). ASPPB (2003) "**payment for supervision** by the pre-doctoral supervisee is not acceptable."

83

Vicarious Liability

Vicarious liability holds that supervisors are liable for their supervisee's actions because a) they are in a position of responsibility and authority, b) the supervisee was under the direct control of the supervisor, and c) the extent to which a supervisor may profit from the actions of their supervisees. Three conditions must be met for vicarious liability:

1. **supervisees agree** to work under the direction and control of the supervisor
2. supervisees must be acting within the **defined scope** of the tasks being supervised
3. supervisor must have the **power to control and direct** the work

Disney and Stephens (1994) clarified factors that aid in the determination of whether the supervisee's negligence implicated the supervisor included:

- The supervisor's power to control the supervisee
- The supervisee's duty to perform the act
- The time, place, and purpose of the act
- The motivation of the supervisee for the act
- Whether the supervisor could have **reasonably expected** that the supervisee would commit the act

84

Vicarious Liability

- ▶ *Simmons v. United States* held that supervisors assume direct responsibility for their response to supervisee sexual transgressions with clients. Supervisors have responsibility for overseeing the counseling relationship between the supervisee and client and **should know** what is taking place. As a supervisor you may be legally vulnerable if you fail to take appropriate actions. *Andrews v. United States*.
- ▶ *Pope and Tabachnick (1993)* found that **11.6 %** of respondents reported that at least one malpractice lawsuit or board complaint.
- ▶ *Miller (2002)* stated that the possibility of an adverse disciplinary event is **10 to 15% during a 15 year career**
- ▶ *Licensing boards can discipline professionals for improper conduct without harm having been inflicted and have a much broader range of admissible evidence (hearsay and prior acts) than do courts*

85

Ethics for Clinical Supervisors

86

Ten Activities Required for Ethical Supervision (Campbell, 2006)

- ▶ Be trained; be **competent**
- ▶ **Orient** supervisees
- ▶ **Informed Consent** Agreement
- ▶ Know current **ethical codes**
- ▶ Have **goals** for supervision
- ▶ Create plans and **structure** for supervision
- ▶ Plan for **evaluation criteria** and methods
- ▶ Dialogue about **dual relationships** and **multicultural issues** (Lowe, 2010)
- ▶ **Document**, document, document, document.....
- ▶ Regular **supervision of supervision**, not crisis consultation

87

Core Ethical Principles

Beneficence/Nonmaleficence	Service
Fidelity/Responsibility	Social Justice
Integrity	Integrity
Justice	Dignity/Worth of Person
Respect for Human Rights	Importance of Human Relations
Dignity	Competence

APA (2010)

NASW (2008)

88

Disciplinary Actions by State Boards of Psychology (Pope and Vasquez, 1998)

- ▶ **Sexual or Dual Relationships** (35%)
- ▶ Unprofessional, Unethical, Negligent Practice (28.6%)
- ▶ Fraud (9.5%)
- ▶ Convictions of Crimes (8.6%)
- ▶ **Inadequate or Improper Supervision** (4.9%)
- ▶ Impairment (4.9%)
- ▶ Breach of Confidentiality (3.9%)
- ▶ **Improper/Inadequate Records** (3.9%)

89

Are You an Ethical Supervisor?

90

Supervisory Ethical Violations

Ladany et al, 1999 report that 51% of all supervisees reported at least **one perceived, potential ethical violation** by their supervisors. The most frequently violated ethical principles related to:

- ▶ Guidelines regarding performance appraisal
- ▶ Monitoring of supervisee activities
- ▶ Confidentiality violations
- ▶ Sexual/dual relationships
- ▶ The line between psychotherapy and supervision
- ▶ Termination/follow-up issues

- ▶ 35% discussed violations with their supervisor
- ▶ 84% discussed them with a peer or friend in the field
- ▶ 33% discussed them with a significant other
- ▶ 14% of the time, someone in a position of power knew about the situation, but took no action.

91

Supervisory Ethical Violations

- Hansel (2018) in a survey of over 100 Predoctoral interns indicated that up to **three-quarters of participants** had perceived at least **one ethical lapse** of best practices by their previous supervisor.
- The most frequently reported areas of supervisor non-adherence to ethical standards were: **direct observation of clinical work, e.g., live supervision, monitoring of client progress, use of familiar treatments, and use of a supervision contract** (Barnett, 2017 and Barnett & Molson, 2014)
- HIPAA Privacy Rules protect the privacy of individually identifiable health information. **Supervisors must ensure the confidentiality** of information between them and the client when they provide clinical supervision. Supervisors must be **familiar with state confidentiality laws and any agency policies** regarding protection of individually identifiable health information (Bradley, 2022 and Durham, 2017).

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

92

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #4

- INSURE THAT YOUR ETHICS ARE IMPECCABLE

93

Major Ethical Issues Related to Supervision

The Big Five

- ▶ Competence
- ▶ Due Process
- ▶ Informed Consent
- ▶ Confidentiality
- ▶ Multiple/Dual Relationships

94

Competence

- ▶ “Psychologists provide services, teach and conduct research...within the **boundaries of their competence** based on their education, training, supervised experience, consultation, study, or professional experience.” APA (2010), 2.01
- ▶ “Marriage and Family Therapists do not diagnose, treat, or advise on problems outside the recognized boundaries of their competencies.” AAMFT (2015) 3.10
- ▶ “Psychologists who delegate work to supervisees...take reasonable steps to ...**(2) authorize only those responsibilities that such persons can be expected to perform** competently on the basis of their education, training, or experience, either independently or with the level of supervision being provided and **(3) see that such persons** perform these services competently.” APA (2010), 2.05
- ▶ “Supervisors should teach courses and supervise clinical work only in areas where they are **fully competent and experienced.**” ACES (1995) 3.02
- ▶ Prior to offering supervision services, counselors are **trained in supervision methods and techniques.** ACA (2014) F2.a

95

Competence

Competence for Supervising

- ▶ Supervising was grounded in assumptions that a) **a trained therapist was a good supervisor** and b) having been supervised qualifies one to supervise.
- ▶ Definition of competency to supervise varies from discipline to discipline, but most have three common components 1) formal **education**, 2) professional **training**, and 3) carefully **supervised experience**. The legal standard of competent practice within a discipline is matching the **performance of an average fellow professional in good standing** under similar circumstances.
- ▶ AAMFT, NBCC, and AAPC have **specific criteria** that must be attained to be an approved supervisor. NASW guidelines spell out 13 specific qualifications that must be attained by someone providing supervision including: **three years** post masters' experience, not under **sanctions** of any kind, demonstrating ongoing **professional development**, clinical expertise, and understanding of issues related to diversity.

96

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #5

- HAVE THE APPROPRIATE EDUCATION, TRAINING, AND EXPERIENCE TO SUPERVISE

97

Competence

Competence in Supervision contains at least four elements

- ▶ Training and experience in supervision
- ▶ Appropriate credentials
- ▶ Clinical experience in area being supervised (practicing within the boundaries of their competence)
- ▶ Multicultural competence

- ▶ Pope & Vasquez (1998) distinguish between *intellectual competence*, i.e., education, knowledge, critical thinking, and conceptualization versus *emotional competence*, i.e., knowledge of self, self-monitoring, areas relevant to self-care

98

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #6

- EXERCISE SELF-CARE IN ORDER TO BE EMOTIONALLY CAPABLE OF SUPERVISING

99

Due Process

- ▶ Ensuring that **supervisee's rights** are not violated
- ▶ Providing clear understanding of **requirements and expectations**
- ▶ Knowledge of **evaluation tools and criteria**
- ▶ Definition of what signifies successful **completion**
- ▶ Proper **notice** and opportunity for a hearing, defense, and appeal

100

Informed Consent

- ▶ “(a) In academic and supervisory relationships, psychologists establish a timely and **specific process for providing feedback** to students and supervisees. Information regarding the process is provided to the student or supervisee at the **beginning of supervision**. (b) Psychologists evaluate students and supervisees on the basis of their **actual performance** on relevant and established program requirements.” APA (2010) 7.06
- ▶ Supervisors are responsible for incorporating into their supervision principles of **informed consent**. ACA (2014) F.4.a
- ▶ “Supervisors should incorporate the principles of **informed consent** and participation; clarity of **requirements, expectations, roles, and rules, and due process** and appeal into the establishment of policies and procedures for their institution, program, courses, and individual supervisory relationships.” ACES (1995) 2.14
- ▶ “A **written understanding** should be signed by both the supervisor and supervisee (and the agency administrator when appropriate) at the beginning of supervision and amended or renegotiated to reflect changes.” NASW (2008)

101

Informed Consent

Informed consent requires providing potential supervisees with information about the supervision that reasonably might influence their ability to make sound decisions about participation (Thomas, 2010).

Informed Consent allows for 1) elucidating expectations, 2) identifying mutually agreed goals, 3) anticipating likely difficulties, and 4) identifying problem solving processes in advance. (Guest & Dooley, 1999).

Bernard and Goodyear (1998 & 2009) and Falvey (2002) suggest that informed consent takes place on multiple levels:

- Client's consent to **treatment by supervisee under supervisors direction**
- Supervisor and supervisee consent to the **supervisory responsibility**
- The institution or **agency consents** to comply with clinical, legal, and ethical requirements
- Client's consent to supervision of their case by a **named individual**
- Client consents that confidential **information will be shared with the supervisor**

102

Informed Consent

Elements of Informed Consent for Supervision

1. **Purposes of Supervision** (professional growth, developing skills, protecting clients)
2. **Information about the Supervisor** (training, experience, credentials, theoretical orientation, supervisory style and model, professional disclosure, limits of competency)
3. **Expectations, Roles, and Responsibilities** (nature of supervisory relationship, boundary issues, evaluation process, conflict resolution, admin vs. clinical aspects)
4. **Logistics of Supervision** (methods, taping, frequency, duration, time, place, fees, documentation, make up sessions, emergency contacts)
5. **Ethical and Legal Processes** (due process, ethical awareness, deficits, dispute resolution)

103

Informed Consent

Possible Elements to be included in an Informed Consent Document for Supervision (Thomas, 2010)

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1. Supervisory Methods | 9. Supervisor's Responsibilities |
| 2. Confidentiality | 10. Supervision Sessions Content |
| 3. Financial Issues | 11. Supervisor Accessibility |
| 4. Documentation | 12. Supervisee Responsibility |
| 5. Risks and Benefits | 13. Informing Supervisor |
| 6. Evaluation Criteria/Procedures | 14. Professional Development Goals |
| 7. Complaint Procedures | |
| 8. Duration/Termination Criteria | |

104

Sample Informed Consent For Supervision

Purpose

The purpose of this form is to provide you with essential information about supervision and to ensure a common understanding about the supervision process. The process of supervision and these guidelines will be discussed in greater detail in our initial meeting.

Professional Disclosure

I earned my Doctorate in Clinical Psychology from Spalding University and am licensed as a Clinical Psychologist by the Commonwealth of Kentucky. I earned my undergraduate degree at Bellarmine University and my Master's Degree at Xavier University. I have received additional supervised training as a Marriage and Family Therapist and am licensed as a Marriage and Family Therapist by the Commonwealth of Kentucky. I am a member of the American Psychological Association, the Kentucky Psychological Association, The American Association of Marriage and Family Therapists, and the Kentucky Psychological Association. My **theoretical orientation** combines a Behaviorist and Cognitive approach that is augmented by Systemic thinking and intervention. I have had extensive training and experience working with and evaluating children. My training and experience has not included extensive work in substance abuse, EMDR, or neuropsychological evaluations. These approaches should be considered **out of my range of competence and will not be supervised by me**. I have been a clinical supervisor for over 15 years and am current on the **requirements for providing supervision** as established by the Kentucky Board of Psychology.

105

Practical Issues

In order to fulfill the requirements for supervision, we will meet on Tuesdays at 10:00 a.m. in my office on the second floor of Theillard Hall. Weekly supervision **will involve case review and videotape review of sessions**. The supervisee is responsible for having a new tape available for review each week after the first two weeks of the practicum. If a **holiday or vacation** falls on Tuesday, we will reschedule for another day during that week. If a circumstance arises that makes it impossible for you to attend, it is your responsibility to notify me as soon as possible and to also reschedule a time to make up the supervision. In addition to the one hour of face-to-face supervision, you are required to attend the **trainee group** supervision with Dr. Hall.

I will provide you with both formal and informal evaluation and feedback throughout your training during the course of supervisory meetings. I will **also solicit information from Dr. Hall** about your performance in the trainee group and will incorporate that as a part of the ongoing evaluation process. A **formal summative evaluation** will be completed at the end of each academic semester utilizing the format prescribed by the University. Evaluation will be based on the responsibilities, goals, and objectives established in the supervisory contract.

During the course of supervision, there may be disagreements about the strategies, interventions, procedures, processes, or other issues. The supervisee should surface these issues with the supervisor and an attempt will be made to resolve any conflict or disagreement. In the event that a satisfactory resolution cannot be achieved, the supervisee has the right to request a meeting with the **Department Director**, Dr. Barbara Williams, to attempt to resolve and mediate the dispute.

Legal and Ethical Issues

It is important that you agree to act in an ethical manner as outlined in the **APA Code of Ethics**, not knowingly engage in dual relationships with clients, follow laws and regulations related to confidentiality, reporting abuse, and Duty to Warn. The supervisee agrees to always act in a fashion that will not jeopardize, harm, or be injurious or potentially damaging to clients. The supervisor will follow ethical codes and standards as it relates to **treating supervisees** with respect and dignity.

106

Supervision is not intended to provide you with **counseling or therapy**, although personal issues may be surfaced and discussed as they relate to client treatment. If personal issues or psychological/emotional concerns arise that interfere with or negatively impact client care, the supervisee agrees to **seek outside counseling** or other means to immediately resolve these issues.

The content of our sessions will be considered **confidential**, except for the following: 1) the completion of the summative evaluation in the format prescribed by the University; 2) any situation where the treatment of a client violates legal or ethical standards; 3) any situation when problems or disagreements between us do not seem resolvable and outside consultation is required; and 4) situations where disciplinary action or termination of the supervisee is being considered.

Statement of Agreement

I have read and understand the information contained in this document, I have been provided a copy of the document, and agree to participate in supervision according to these guidelines.

----- Supervisee Signature	----- Date
----- Supervisor Signature	----- Date
----- Department Director	----- Date

107

**PREVENTING PROBLEMS
STRATEGY #7**

- **OBTAIN INFORMED
CONSENT FOR
SUPERVISION**

108

Multiple/Dual Relationships

- ▶ “(a) A psychologist refrains from entering into a multiple relationship if the multiple relationship could reasonably be expected to **impair the psychologist’s objectivity, competence, or effectiveness** in performing his or her functions as a psychologist, or otherwise risk exploitation or harm to the person with whom a professional relationship exists.... Multiple relationships that would not reasonably be expected to cause impairment or risk of exploitation or harm are not unethical.” APA (2010) 3.05
- ▶ “Supervisors who have multiple roles with supervisees should **minimize potential conflicts**. Where possible, the roles should be divided among several supervisors. Where this is not possible, careful explanation should be conveyed to the supervisee as to the expectations and responsibilities associated with each supervisory role.” ACES (1995) 2.09
- ▶ Supervisors consider the **risks of extending** current supervisory relationships in any form beyond the conventional parameters... taking appropriate caution to ensure that **judgment is not impaired and that no harm occurs**. ACA (2014) F.3.a
- ▶ “Members must not accept as supervisees those individuals with whom a prior or existing relationship could compromise the therapist’s objectivity.” AAMFT (2015)

109

Multiple/Dual Relationships

- ▶ “Psychologists who delegate work to ... supervisees... take reasonable steps to... avoid delegating work to such persons who have a multiple relationship with those being served that would likely lead to exploitation or loss of objectivity.” APA (2010) 2.05
- ▶ *“Social workers who function as educators or field instructors for students should not engage in any dual or relationships with students in which there is a risk of exploitation or potential harm to the student, including dual relationships that may arise while using social networking sites, or other electronic media.... Social work educators and field instructors are responsible for setting clear, appropriate, and culturally sensitive boundaries.” NASW, 2021, 3.02*
- ▶ Supervisors make every effort to avoid conditions and multiple relationships with supervisees that **could impair professional judgment or increase risk of exploitation**..... examples of such relationships include, but are not limited to **business or close personal relationships with supervisees or the supervisee’s immediate family**..... Supervisors do not engage in sexual intimacies during the **evaluative period or training relationship**. AAMFT (2015) 4.2, 4.3, & 4.6
- ▶ “Psychologists do not engage in **sexual relationships** with students or supervisees who are in their department, agency, or training center or over whom psychologists have or are likely to have **evaluative authority**.” APA (2010) 7.07

110

Multiple/Dual Relationships

“A multiple/dual relationship exists when a supervisor has a concurrent or consecutive personal, social, business, or professional relationship with a supervisee in addition to the supervisor – supervisee relationship, and these roles conflict or compete.” (Kitchner, 1988)

“A multiple relationship occurs when a psychologist is in a professional role with a person and (1) at the same time is in another role with the same person, (2) at the same time is in a relationship with a person closely associated with or related to the person... or (3) promises to enter into another relationship in the future a person closely associated with or related to the person.” APA (2010) 3.05

AAPC (1997) acknowledges (and therefore allows) that supervision may occur between individuals who have social and collegial relationships, “but supervisors are directed to structure the interactions so as not to interfere with successful fulfillment of the supervisory contract.”

APA Ethics Committee (2008) reported that over 60% of all ethics cases opened included multiple relationships as one factor

“Application of these principles to small communities, rural settings, religious groups, gay, feminist, and ethnic minorities may be more complex and problematic.” Campbell, 2006)

111

Attitudes about Dual Relationships with Supervisees (Barbara Herlihy)

Is it Ethical	Never	Rarely	Unsure	Usually	Always
1. Barter with supervisees for services					
2. Provide therapy to a supervisee					
3. Accept a gift of <\$10					
4. Accept a gift of >\$200					
5. Invite a supervisee to a party or social event					
6. Accept a supervisee's invite to party or event					
7. Become friends with a supervisee after termination					
8. Engage in sexual behavior with a supervisee after termination					

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

112

Discussion Questions

1. Which categories of dual relationships do you feel strongly about?
2. Which categories of dual relationships are unclear?
3. How do your ratings affect your approach to supervision?
4. Can you think of an example in your experience where a dual relationship with a supervisee became problematic? How did you handle the situation? K&m
5. Can you think of an example where either you or a supervisee violated a boundary that was not unethical?

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

113

Multiple/Dual Relationships

"A boundary is the defined "edge" of appropriate or professional behavior, transgression, of which involves the therapist stepping out of the clinical role. a 'slippery slope' refers to seemingly insignificant erosions in boundaries that may transform into significant violations....the erosion or benign boundary crossings may be either a precipitant or a predictor of a sexual relationship that ensues." (Lamb and Catanzaro, 1998)

A **boundary crossing** is a non-pejorative term that describes departures from commonly accepted clinical practice that may or may not benefit the supervisee. Boundary crossings may be harmless, nonexploitative, or supportive.

Boundary crossings should be viewed as potentially high-risk behaviors and may include money, place and space, gifts, services, clothing, language, self-disclosure, and physical contact.

A **boundary violation** is a clear departure from acceptable practice that places the supervisee or the supervisory process at serious risk.

114

Multiple/Dual Relationships

Boundary Issues in Supervision

To what extent if any is the supervisor's **judgment impaired** by the dual relationship. AAMFT (2007) specifically prohibits supervising family members.

- ▶ Supervisory role is **inevitably a dual relationship** due to power differential
- ▶ To what extent if any is the supervisors **judgment impaired** by the dual relationship
- ▶ What is the risk that supervisee will be exploited based on power differential
- ▶ Duality cannot be avoided completely, but can be **managed**
- ▶ **Therapy vs. personal and professional growth** (how does this impact relationship with client? Is the supervisee impaired?)
- ▶ **Sexual boundaries** (supervisors may need to examine their own needs and life situation)
- ▶ **"Once a client, always a client"** may not apply as rigorously in supervisory relationship and power differential shifts as supervisee becomes more of a colleague
- ▶ **Be proactive in raising dual relationship issues** with supervisees both up and down (supervisor and clients)

115

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #8

- AVOID BOUNDARY CROSSINGS WITH SUPERVISEES AS THEY CAN LEAD TO BOUNDARY VIOLATIONS

116

Multiple/Dual Relationships

Supervisor – Supervisee Sexual Relationships

117

Multiple/Dual Relationships

Supervisor – Supervisee Sexual Relationships

**The Simple Answer is –
What Part of “No” Don’t
You Understand!**

118

Multiple/Dual Relationships

Ethics codes have specific prohibitions regarding sexual contact with supervisees and students (AAPC, ACA, APA, ASPPB, CPA, AAMFT, NASW) and do not allow for exceptions. **Some codes extend this to electronic interactions.**

American Psychiatric Association (2009) states that sexual contact between a supervisor and a trainee or student "may be unethical."

Prevalence of Sexual Misconduct

- ▶ **17% of female** members of APA Division 12, Clinical Psychology, had sexual contact with psychology educators/supervisors as graduate students (Glaser and Thorpe, 1986)
- ▶ 31% reported having experienced **seductive behavior** with educators/supervisors while they were graduate students
- ▶ By 1996, (Hammel, Olkin, and Taube, 1996) reported rates had dropped to **10% and this seems to be sustained** (Lamb and Catanzaro, 2003).
- ▶ Rates of client-therapist sex have also declined from about **12% in the 70's** (Pope et al., 1979) to about **4 to 6%** (Lamb et al., 2003 and Pope & Vasquez, 1999)
- ▶ Rates of **supervisor-supervisee sexual relationships** have been found to be consistent between 1.5 to 4%. Lamb and Catanzaro (1998) place the rate of supervisor - supervisee sexual contact between 3% and 8%.
- ▶ Zakrewski (2006) reported rates of 2%, but sample included male and female students. Women were 2.5 times more likely to have had sexual contact with a supervisor than men.

119

Multiple/Dual Relationships

Impact of a Dual Relationship on the Supervisory Process

"Because of the power differential and the supervisee vulnerability implicit in supervisee/supervisor sexual relationships, **completely voluntary consent is impossible** in supervisee/supervisor sexual relationships." Thus, to argue that such a relationship is consensual may be fallacious." (Koocher & Keith-Spiegel, 1998).

The power differential in a supervisory dyad can "create unique vulnerabilities for supervisees." (Gottlieb, Robinson, & Younggren, 2007)

- ▶ Supervisee is **no longer as comfortable** confronting or disagreeing with the supervisor.
- ▶ Supervisor's ability to **objectively evaluate** the supervisee is severely compromised
- ▶ What **started as "consensual"** often evolves into what feels like coercion and harm (Glaser and Thorpe, 1986)
- ▶ **Legal jeopardy** attaches because of inadequate supervision or the accusation of unfairness of evaluation after the dual relationship ends.
- ▶ **Isolation** from the work group, perceived **preferential** treatment, and questioning of professional **judgment** may occur
- ▶ **Poor modeling** for other professionals and doubt about the profession as a whole
- ▶ Supervisees who were sexually involved with supervisors are **more likely to be offenders** themselves (Bartell and Rubin, 1990 and Pope et al., 1979)

120

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #9

- UNDERSTAND THE ARTIFICIAL INTIMACY CREATED IN SUPERVISION – ADDRESS SEXUAL ATTRACTION

121

Multiple/Dual Relationships

Risk Factors and Boundary Issues

- ▶ **Self Disclosure, money, and touch** are described as the primary slippery slopes to boundary violations and dual relationships

- Generally Unacceptable Self Disclosure
 - Details of current life stressors
 - Dreams and Fantasies
 - Relational Circumstances
 - Sexual Circumstances
 - Financial Circumstances

The Seven Deadly Boundary Crossings : Guthell & Gabbard (1993)

1. Time
2. Place
3. Money
4. Gifts, Favors
5. Clothing
6. Language
7. Physical Contact

122

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #10

- BE DILLIGENT ABOUT MAINTAINING BOUNDARIES AROUND SELF-DISCLOSURE, MONEY, AND TOUCH

123

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #11

- AVOID THE SEVEN DEADLY BOUNDARY CROSSINGS

124

Multiple/Dual Relationships

Requiring inappropriate self disclosure from supervisees may be unethical unless understood and agreed upon in advance.

“Psychologists do not require students or supervisees to disclose personal information in course – or program related activities, either orally or in writing, regarding sexual history, history of abuse and neglect, psychological treatment, and relationships with peers, parents, spouses, or significant others except if (1) the program or training facility has clearly identified this requirement in its admission and program materials or the information is necessary to obtain professional assistance” APA (2010) 7.04

Another Dual Relationship issue is the boundary between supervision and therapy. Blurring of boundaries between supervision and therapy may create unwarranted personal disclosure or unrealistic expectations about confidentiality, loyalty, or future interactions.

- ▶ “Supervisors do not provide therapy to current students or supervisees. AAMFT (2015) 4.2
- ▶ “(a) When individual or group therapy is a program or course requirement, psychologists responsible for that program allow students in undergraduate and graduate programs the option of selecting such therapy from practitioners unaffiliated with the program. (b) Faculty who are or are likely to be responsible for evaluating students’ academic performance do not themselves provide that therapy.” APA (2010) 7.05

125

Multiple/Dual Relationships

Although sexual boundaries are the most egregious, they certainly aren’t the only ones. Navin et al. (1995) reported that 25 percent of field-based supervisors were aware of social interactions between supervisors and supervisees that may be incompatible with supervisor’s duties. Collegial nature of supervision may cause supervisors to lose sight of their evaluation responsibilities.

- ▶ **Mentoring** is a dynamic way of teaching, but can involve many activities or meetings outside of the normal supervisory process.
- ▶ **Socializing** may appear to be benign or even beneficial, yet pose some ethical risks. The core ethical question is: How does the socialization enhance or inhibit the professional relationship?

126

Multiple/Dual Relationships

Haynes et al (2003) pose questions to consider before making decisions about socialization.

- ▶ Could the socializing impact my ability to give a negative evaluation or terminate a supervisee?
- ▶ Can I **explain and justify** my decisions around socializing to an ethics board?
- ▶ What advice would I give a **colleague** in a similar situation?
- ▶ In my setting, how appropriate is socializing and what is the **professional maturity** of my supervisees?
- ▶ How might other supervisees react knowing that I am socializing with some supervisees, but not all of them?
- ▶ How comfortable am I with my actions **being known publicly** or by my boss?
- ▶ What is the **worst possible scenario** that could emerge from my decision to socialize with a supervisee?

127

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #12

- Carefully look at how social interactions might impact the supervisor-supervisee relationship

128

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #13

- WHEN CONSIDERING SOCIAL INTERACTIONS WITH SUPERVISEES – CONSULT WITH ANOTHER SUPERVISOR

129

Risk Management Strategies

130

Risk Management Strategies

Top 10 Risk Management Strategies for Supervision (Falvey, 2002)

1. Maintain **Written Policies**
2. **Monitor** Supervisees Competence through Work Samples
3. Supervision **Contract**
4. Be **Accessible, Dependable, and Available**
5. **Informed Consent** for Supervision
6. DOCUMENT, DOCUMENT, DOCUMENT
7. **Consult** with Others Appropriately
8. Know the **Law and Administrative Regulations**
9. Discuss **Ethical Codes**
10. Liability **Insurance**

131

Risk Management Strategies

Serving as a supervisor **elevates your legal risks**. You are responsible for the work product of supervisees who legally become the "**hands and legs**" (agent) of the supervisor. You should take supervision seriously because of the risks that it creates for you. Supervisors can reduce their legal risks by client screening and assessment

- The supervisor should conduct an **initial assessment** of supervisee and clients prior to assigning cases to supervisees - competence
- Evaluate whether supervisee's skills are adequate to handle the case
- **Monitor** supervisee's caseload and changes in complexity of the case
- Only accept cases for your supervisee that **you are competent** to supervise
- Insure that clients can have **direct access** to the supervisor
- Review treatment plans regularly to insure that supervisee can provide appropriate standard of care
- Develop a sound **supervisory contract**

132

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #14

- CONDUCT PERIODIC FORMAL ASSESSMENTS OF THE SUPERVISEE'S SKILL LEVEL

133

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #15

- MONITOR THE SUPERVISEE'S CASELOAD FOR CHANGES IN COMPLEXITY

134

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #16

- INSURE THAT CLIENTS CAN HAVE DIRECT ACCESS TO THE SUPERVISOR

135

Risk Management Strategies Systemic and Personal

Supervisors can reduce their legal risks by prudent “hiring,” planning, and monitoring.

- Require a **formal application** process with documentation
- Ask about employment **gaps** or discontinuity in training
- Conduct a **background check**
- Check their ability to get along with others and accommodate to rules – avoid “loose cannons” and “**walking lawsuits.**”
- Clarify their expectations and yours.
- Develop a formal **Supervision Contract** and **Informed Consent**

136

Risk Management Strategies

- Meet on a **regular basis**
- Correct ongoing problems
- **Respond** to requests for help or concerns
- Keep **supervisory notes**
- Participate in **formal training on supervision**
- Do not harm, exploit, disrespect, manipulate, or have sexual contact with supervisees
- Obtain regular **consultation on your supervision**
- Use **multiple methods** of supervision.

137

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #17

- REQUEST A FORMAL APPLICATION FOR SUPERVISION

138

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #18

- CONDUCT A BACKGROUND CHECK, PARTICULARLY WHEN WORKING WITH FAMILIES AND CHILDREN

139

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #19

- DEVELOP A SUPERVISORY CONTRACT WITH SPECIFIC EXPECTATIONS

140

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #20

- PARTICIPATE IN FORMAL TRAINING ON SUPERVISION

141

PREVENTING PROBLEMS STRATEGY #21

- REGULARLY CONSULT WITH ANOTHER SUPERVISOR ABOUT YOUR SUPERVISION

142

Bibliography

- American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy. (2015). *AAMFT code of ethics*. Alexandria, VA.
- American Counseling Association (2016). *American Counseling Association Code of Ethics*. Washington, DC.
- American Psychological Association (2013). *Guidelines for the practice of telepsychology*. APA Council of Representatives. Washington, DC
- American Psychological Association (2025). Guidelines for clinical supervision in health service psychology. *American Psychologist*, 70, 33-46.
- American Psychological Association (2018). *Standards of accreditation: Implementing Procedures*. Washington, D.C. Commission on Accreditation.
- American Psychological Association (2017). *Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct*. Washington, DC
- American Psychological Association (2025). Guidelines for clinical supervision in health service psychology. *American Psychologist*.
- American Psychological Association Trust (2006). *Assessing and managing risk in psychological practice*. Rockville, MD: The Trust.
- Ancinue, F. (2002). *The development and validation of the Group Supervision Scale*. (unpublished doctoral dissertation).
- Association for Counselor Education and Supervision (2016). *Ethical guidelines for counseling supervisors*.
- Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards. (2003). *Supervision guidelines*. Montgomery, AL:
- Aviram, A. & Nadan, Y. (2022) Online clinical supervision in couple and family Therapy: A scoping Review. *Family Process*, 61, 1417-1436.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

143

- Barnett, J. (2017). Becoming a Clinical Supervisor: Key Ethics Issues and Recommendations. *J Health Serv Psychol* 43, 10–18 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03544644>
- Barnett, J. E., & Molson, C. H. (2014). Clinical supervision of psychotherapy: Essential ethical issues for supervisors in supervisees. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 70, 1051-1061. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jclp.22126>.
- Basa, V. (2017). Models of supervision in therapy, brief defining features. *European Journal of Counseling Theory, Research and Practice*, 1, 1-5.
- Basa, V. (2021). Evaluation in the field of supervision. *European Journal of Counseling Theory, Research and Practice*, 5, 3, 1-10.
- Bernard, J.M., & Goodyear, R.K. (2014). *Fundamentals of clinical supervision* (4th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Blow, R. (2017). Clinical supervision ethics: Who is looking out? *Development Counts*.
- Bernard, J.M., & Goodyear, R.K. (2009). *Fundamentals of clinical supervision* (4th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Bernhard, P. A., & Camins, J. S. (2020). Supervision from Afar: trainees' perspectives on telesupervision. *Counselling Psychology Quarterly*, 34(3-4), 377–386. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09515070.2020.1770697>.
- Bradley, E. (2022). The ethics and tips for clinical supervision. *Health*, July 2, 2022.
- Borders, D. & Brown, L. (2022). *The new handbook of counseling supervision*. Routledge, NY.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

144

Campbell, J. (2000). *Becoming an effective supervisor: A workbook for counselors and psychotherapists*. Philadelphia: Accelerated Development.

Campbell, J. (2006). *Essentials of clinical supervision*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Campbell, J. (2021). *Essentials of clinical supervision. 2nd Ed.* New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Canadian Psychological Association. (2017). *Ethical Guidelines for Supervision*. Ottawa, Canada

Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. Clinical Supervision and Professional Development of the Substance Abuse Counselor. Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series 52. HHS Publication No. (SMA) 14- 4435. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, revised 2014.

Cimino, A., Rorke, J., & Adams, H. (2013) Supervisors behaving badly: Witnessing ethical dilemmas and what to do about it. *Journal of Social Work Values and Ethics*, 10 (2)

Corey, G., Haynes, R., Moulton, J., & Muratori, M. (2020). *Clinical Supervision in the Helping Professions: A Practical Guide*. Alexandria, VA, American Counseling Association.

Cruikshanks, D.R. & Burns, S. (2017) Clinical supervisors' ethical and professional identity behaviors with postgraduate supervisees seeking independent licensure, *Cogent Psychology*, 4:1, DOI: [10.1080/23311908.2017.1373422](https://doi.org/10.1080/23311908.2017.1373422)

Curry, J. F. (2015). Training implications of psychology's approach to conscience clause cases. *Training and Education and Professional Psychology*, 9 (4), 275-278. [HTTP://dx.doi.org/10.1037/tep0000102](https://dx.doi.org/10.1037/tep0000102)

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

145

Deane, F. P., González, C., Blackman, R., Saffioit, D., & Andresen, R. (2015). Issues in the development of e-supervision in professional psychology: A review. *Australian Psychologist*, 50,241-247. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ap.12107>

Domenech Rodríguez, M. M., Erickson Cornish, J. A., Thomas, J. T., Forrest, L., Anderson, A., & Bow, J.N. (2014). Ethics education in professional psychology: A survey of American Psychological Association accredited programs. *Training and Education in Professional Psychology*, 8(4), 241-247. Doi: 10.1037/tep0000043

Durham, T.G. (2017). Clinical supervisors: How well do you know your ethical Obligations? *Advances in Addiction and Recovery*, Spring 2017.

Ellis, M. V., Berger, L., Hanus, A. E., Ayala, E. E., Swords, B. A., & Siembor, M. (2018). Inadequate and harmful clinical supervision: Testing a revised framework and assessing occurrence. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 42(4), 434-472. Doi: 10.1177/001100013508656

Ellis, M. V., Creaner, M., Hutman, H. B., & Timulak, L. (2015). A comparative study of clinical supervision in the Republic of Ireland and the United States. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 62(4), 621-631. Doi: 10.1037/cou0000110.

Falender, C. A. (2017). Multiple relationships and clinical supervision. In Zur O. (Ed.), *Multiple relationships in psychotherapy and counseling: Unavoidable, common, and mandatory dual relations in therapy* (pp. 209-220). Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.

Falender, C. A. (2020). Ethics of clinical supervision: An international lens. *Psychology in Russia: State of the Art*, 13(1), 42-53. <https://doi.org/10.11621/psr.2020.0105>

Falender, C., Goodyear, R., Duan, C. et al. (2021). Lens on International Clinical Supervision: Lessons Learned from a Cross-National Comparison of Supervision. *J Contemp Psychother* 51, 181-189.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

146

Falender, C. A., Grus, C., McCutcheon, S., Goodyear, R. K., Ellis, M. V., Doll, B. Kaslow, N. J. (2016). Guidelines for clinical supervision in health service psychology: Evidence and implementation strategies. *Psychotherapy Bulletin*, 51(3), 6-16. <https://societyforpsychology.org/guidelines-clinical-supervision-health-service-psychology>.

Falender, C.A., & Shafranske, E.P. (2004). *Clinical Supervision: A competency-based approach*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.

Falender, C.A, Shafranske, E.P., (2014). Competent clinical supervision: Emerging effective practices. *Counseling Psychology Quarterly*, 4, 393-408.

Falender, C.A., & Shafranske, E.P. (2017). *Supervision essentials for the practice of competency-based supervision*. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.

Falender, C.A., & Shafranske, E.P. (2021). *Clinical Supervision: A competency-based approach*. 2nd Ed. Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Association.

Fernandez, I., Rodriguez, M., Cantarino, S., Macedo, A., & Abreu, W. (2022). Clinical supervision – Supervisory Models, styles, and strategies. *International Journal of Health Sciences*. [Doi: 10.22533/at.ed15927422241116](https://doi.org/10.22533/at.ed15927422241116).

Fisher, L. (2021). *Diversity in Clinical Practice A Practical & Shame-Free Guide to Reducing Cultural Offenses & Repairing Cross-Cultural Relationships*. Pesi Publications, Eau Claire, WI.

Genuchi, M. C., Rings, J. A., Gernek, M. D., & Cornish, J. A. (2015). Clinical supervisor's perceptions of the clarity and comprehensiveness of the supervision competencies framework. *Training and Education in Professional Psychology*, 9 (1), 68-76. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/tep0000064>

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

147

Gonsalvez, C., Hamid, G., Savage, N., & Livini, D. (2017). The supervision evaluation and supervisory competency scale: Psychometric validation. *Australian Psychologist*, 52 94-103.

Grames, H., Sims, P., Holden, C., Rollins, P., et al. (2022). The changing face of telesupervision and digital training in response to COVID-19. *J Fam Ther*, August: [doi: 10.1111/1467-6427.12415](https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6427.12415).

Grant, J., Schofield, M., & Crawford, S. (2012). Managing difficulties in supervision: Supervisors' perspectives. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*. 59(4), 528-541.

Grus, C. L., & Kaslow, N. J. (2014). Professionalism: Professional attitudes and values in psychology. In W.B. Johnson & Kaslow. *Oxford handbook of education and training in professional psychology*. 491-509. New York, NY: Oxford.

Haddad, A., Doherty, R., & Purlito, R. (2019). Professional boundaries guided by respect. *Health Professional and Patient Interaction (Ninth Edition)*, Pages 28-40 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-53362-1.00003-7>

Haynes, R., Corey, G., & Moulton, P. (2003). *Clinical supervision in the helping professions: A practical guide*. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.

Herbert, G (2022). Types of counseling supervision: A conceptual framework. *Academia Letters*, Article 5016.

Horton, S. Drachler, M., Fuller, A. & Leite, J. (2008). Development and preliminary validation of a measure for assessing staff perspectives on the quality of group supervision. *Int J Lang Commun Disorder*. 43(2): 126-134.

January, A. M., Meyerson, D. A., Reddy, L. F., Docherty, A. R., & Klonoff, E. A. (2014). Impressions of misconduct: Graduate students' perception of faculty ethical violations in scientist-practitioner clinical psychology programs. *Training and Education in Professional Psychology*, 8(4), 261-268. [doi: 10.1037/tep000005](https://doi.org/10.1037/tep000005)

Jernigan, M., Green, C., Helms, J., Perez-G., L. & Henze, K. (2010). An examination of people of color supervision dyads: Racial identity matters as much as race. *Train. Educ. Prof. Psychol* 4, 62-73. [doi: 10.1037/a0018110](https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018110).

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

148

Johnson, W. & N. J. Kaslow (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of education and training in professional psychology* (pp. 491-509). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Jones, C. T., & Branco, S. F. (2020). The interconnectedness between cultural humility and broaching in clinical supervision: Working from the multicultural orientation framework. *The Clinical Supervisor*, 39.

Kavanagh, D., Spence, S., Wilson, H., Fuller, A., Sturk, H., & Crow, N. (2003). Supervision practices in allied mental health: Relationships of supervision characteristics to perceived impact and job satisfaction. *Mental Health Services Res.* 5(1) 187-195.

Knapp, S. J., Gottlieb, M. C., & Handelsman, E. M. (2015). *Ethical dilemmas in psychotherapy: Positive approaches to decision-making*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Knapp, S. J., & VandeCreek, L. (2006). *Practical ethics for psychologists: A positive approach*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Ladany, N. (2014). The ingredients of supervisor failure. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 70(11), 1094– 1103. doi: 10.1002/jclp.22130

Lindblad TL. (2021). Ethical considerations in clinical supervision: Components of effective clinical supervision across an interprofessional team. *Behav Anal Pract.* 2021;14(2):478-490. doi: 10.1007/s40617-020-00514-y.

Martin, F. & Turner, J. (2019). [*Clinical supervision in the real world: a practical guide to ethics, legal issues, and personal development*](#). Routledge..

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

149

Manathing, C. (2011). Moments of transculturation and assimilation: Post-colonial explorations of supervision and culture. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 48(4), 367–376. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2011.617089>

Martin, P., Copley, J., Tyack, Z. (2014). Twelve tips for effective clinical supervision based on a narrative literature review and expert opinion. *Medical Teacher*, 36:201-207.

Martino, C. (2001). *Secrets of successful supervision: Graduate students' preferences and experiences with effective and ineffective supervisors*. Symposium at American Psychological Association, San Francisco, CA.

Michigan Certification Board for Addiction Professionals. (2021). *Supervisor's Code of Ethics*.

Munson, C.E. (1993). *Clinical social work supervision*. New York: Hayworth.

Nadan, Y., Shachar, R., Cramer, D., Leshem, T., Levenbach, D., Rozen, R. et al. (2020). Behind the (virtual) mirror: Online live supervision in couple and family therapy. *Family Process*, 59(3)997-1006.

NASW (2017) *Code of Ethics (Guide to the Everyday Professional Conduct of Social Workers)*. Washington, DC: NASW.

NASW (2021). *Code of Ethics*, Washington, DC: NASW

Newman, C.F. & Kaplan, D.A. (2016). *Supervision essentials for cognitive-behavioral therapy*. American Psychological Association. Washington, DC

Ontario Psychological Association. (2015). *Bill of rights for supervisees in clinical supervision*.

Powell, D. (2018). Race, African Americans, and psychoanalysis: Collective silence in the therapeutic situation. *Journal of the American psychoanalytic Association*, 66(6): 1021-1049.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

150

- Powell, D. (2020). From the sunken place to the shitty place: the film Get Out, psychic emancipation and modern race relations from a psychodynamic clinical perspective. *The Psychoanalytic Quarterly* 89(3): 415-445.
- Prochaska, J.O. & DiClemente, C. C. (1982). Transtheoretical therapy: Toward a more integrative model of change. *Psychotherapy*, 19, 276-287.
- Prochaska, J.O. & DiClemente, C. C. (1984). *The transtheoretical approach: Crossing traditional boundaries of therapy*. Homewood, IL: Dorsey Press.
- Prochaska, J.O. & DiClemente, C. C. (1986). The transtheoretical approach. In J.C. Norcross (Ed.), *Handbook of the eclectic counselor*. New York: Routledge.
- Quiroz, P. (2022). Different models of Clinical Supervision. *Next Steps*, 4, 2-11.
- Riva, M.T. & Smith, R.D. (2024). Beyond the dyad: Broadening the APA supervision guidelines to include group supervision. *Psychotherapy*, 61(2), 161-172.
- Schriger, S.H., Boroshok, A.L., Khan, A.N., Wang, I., & Becker-Haimes, E.M. (2023). A case example of community based supervision to overcome barriers and support the implementation of exposure therapy. *Psychological Services*, 20(2), 343-352.
- Soheilian, S. S., Inman, A. G., Klinger, R. S., Isenberg, D. S., & Kulp, L. E. (2014). Multicultural supervision: Supervisees' reflections on culturally competent supervision. *Counseling Psychology Quarterly*, 27, 379-392.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09515070.2014.961408>.
- Soheilian, S. S., O'Shaughnessy, T., Lehmann, J. S., & Rivero, M. (2023). Examining the impact of COVID-19 on supervisees' experiences of clinical supervision. *Training and Education in Professional Psychology*, 17(2), 167-175.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/tep0000418>

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

151

- Simmons v. United States, 805 F 2d 1363 (9th Cir. 1986).
- Tarshis, T. & Baird, S (2021). Applying intersectionality in clinical supervision. *The Clinical Supervisor*,
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07325223.2021.1919849>.
- Thomas, J.T. (2010). *The ethics of supervision and consultation*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association
- Wainwright, N.A. (2010). The development of the Leeds Alliance in Supervision Scale (LASS): A brief sessional measure of the supervisory alliance. *Unpublished Doctoral Thesis*. University of Leeds
- Winstanley, J. (2000). Manchester clinical supervision scale. *Nursing Standards*, 149190 31-32.
- Watters, Y. & Northey, W. (2020) Online telesupervision: Competence forged in a pandemic. *Journal of Family Psychotherapy*, 311 157-177.
- Winstanley, J. (2000). Manchester clinical supervision scale. *Nursing Standards*, 149190 31-32.
- Wong, L. C. J., Wong, P. T. P., & Ishiyama, I. F. (2013). What Helps and What Hinders in Cross-Cultural Clinical Supervision: A Critical Incident Study. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 41(1), 66-85. Sage Publications.
doi: org.10.1177/0011000012442652
- Woodward, K. & Grimes, L. (2018). Research and Reflections on Dual Relationships in Counselor Supervision. *Georgia School Counselor Association* 34 | 2018 2018 | 35
- Wrape, E. R., Callahan, J. L., Ruggero, C. J., & Watkins, C. E. (2015). An exploration of faculty supervisor variables and their impact on client outcomes. *Training and Education in Professional Psychology*, 9(1), 35-43. doi: 10.1037/tep0000014
- Watkins, C. E., Jr., Hook, J. N., Ramaeker, J., & Ramos, M. J. (2016). Repairing the ruptured supervisory alliance: Humility as a foundational virtue in clinical supervision. *The Clinical Supervisor*, 35(1), 22-41. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07325223.2015.1127190>.
- Watkins, C. E., Jr., Hook, J. N., Mosher, D. K., & Callahan, J. L. (2018). Humility in clinical supervision: Fundamental, foundational, and transformational. *The Clinical Supervisor*, 38 (1), 58-78. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07325223.2018.1487355>
- Zakrewski, R.F. (2006). A national survey of the American Psychological Association student affiliate's involvement and ethical training in psychology-educator student sexual relationships. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 37, 724-730.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

152

Additional Readings

American Association of Pastoral Counselors (2009). Supervision Standards. In *Membership standards and certification manual* (pp.32-33). Fairfax, VA.

American Counseling Association (2005). *American Counseling Association Code of Ethics*. Washington, DC.

American Psychological Association (2003). Guidelines on multicultural education, training, research, practice, and organizational change for psychologists. *American Psychologist*, 58, 236-260.

American Psychological Association Ethics Committee (2008). Report of the Ethics Committee, 2007. *American Psychologist*, 63, 452-459.

Bar, M., Doron, A., Bronstein, L., & Mendlovic, S. (2023). The MATRIX: A deliberate approach to clinical supervision in the public sector. *Psychological Services*, 20(2), 267-282.

Behnke, S.H., Preis, J., & Bates, R.T. (1998). *The essentials of California mental health law*. New York: Norton.

Bennet, B.E., Bryant, B.K., Vandenbos, G.R., & Greenwood, A. (1990). *Professional liability and risk management*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Bernard, J.M. (1997). The discrimination model. In C.E. Watkins (Ed.), *Handbook of psychotherapy supervision*. New York: Wiley.

Bernard, J.M., & Goodyear, R.K. (2004). *Fundamentals of clinical supervision* (3rd ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

153

Borders, L. D., Glossoff, H. L., Welfare, L. E., Hays, D. G., DeKruyf, L., Fernando, D. M., & Page, B. (2014). Best practices in clinical supervision: Evolution of a counseling specialty. *Clinical Supervisor*, 33(1), 26-44. Doi: 10.1080/07325223.2014.905225.

Bordin, E.S. (1983). A working competency-based model of supervision. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 11, 35-42.

Bradley, W.J. & Becker, J.D. (2021) Clinical supervision of mental health services: a systematic review of supervision characteristics and practices associated with formative and restorative outcomes, *The Clinical Supervisor*, 40:1,88-111, Doi: 10.1080/07325223.2021.1904312

Burian & O'Connor, (2000). Social dual role relationships during internship: A decision-making model. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 31(3), 332-338 |

California Board of Psychology. (2008). *Laws and regulations booklet*. Sacramento, CA.

Celenza, A. (2007). *Sexual boundary violations: Therapeutic, supervisory, and academic context*. Lanham, MD: Jason Aronson.

Corey, G., Corey, M.S., & Callahan, P. (2003). *Issues and ethics in the helping professions*. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole

Crall, J. (2011). Ethical behavior of supervisors: Effects on supervisee experiences and behavior (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from ProQuest Dissertations and Theses.

Dawson, N. & Chunga, E. (2023). Reflective supervision: the symbolic hands that hold. *Psychological Services*, 20(2), 300-305.

Disney, M.J., & Stephens, A.M. (1994). *The ACA Legal Series (Vol. 10): Legal issues in clinical supervision*. Alexandria, VA: American Counseling Association.

Falender, C. A. & Shafranske, E. P. (2010). Psychotherapy-based supervision models in an emerging competency-based era. *Psychotherapy Theory, Research, Practice & Training*, 27, 45-50.

Falender, C. A. & Shafranske, E. P. (2014). Clinical supervision: The state-of-the-art. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 70, 1030-1041. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jclp.22124>.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

154

Falender, C.A., Shafranske, E.P., & Falicov, C. (Eds.). (2014). *Multiculturalism and diversity in clinical supervision: A competency-based approach*. Washington DC: American Psychological Association.

Falvey, J.E. (2001) *Managing clinical supervision: Ethical practice and legal risk management*. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks-Cole.

Frawley-O'Dea, M.G., & Sarnat, J.E. (2001). *The supervisory relationship: A contemporary psychodynamic approach*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Freeman, E. (1985). The importance of feedback in clinical supervision: Implications for practice. *The Clinical Supervisor*, 3, 5-26.

Freitas, G.J. (2002). The impact of psychotherapy supervision on client outcome: A critical examination of 2 decades of research. *Psychotherapy: Theory/Research/Practice/Training*, Vol. 39, (4), 354-367.

Glaser, R.D. & Thorpe, J.S. (1986). Unethical intimacy: A survey of sexual contact and advances between psychology educators and female graduate students. *American Psychologist*, 41, 43-51.

Griffith, B.A., & Freiden, G. (2000). Facilitating reflective thinking in counselor education. *Counselor Education and Supervision*.

Gottlieb, M.C., Robinson, K., & Youngren, J.N. (2007). Multiple relations in supervision: Guidance for administrators, supervisors, and students. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 38, 241-247.

Grassby, S. & Gonsalvez, C. (2022). Group supervision is a distinct supervisor competency. *Australian Psychologist*, 57(6), 352-358.

Guest, P.D., & Dooley, K. (1999). Supervisor malpractice: Liability to the supervisee in clinical supervision. *Counselor Education and Supervision*, 38, 269-279.

Guthell, T.G., & Gabbard, G.O. (1993). Obstacles to the dynamic understanding of therapist-patient sexual relationships. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 150, 188-196.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

155

Hammel, G.A., Olkin, E., & Taube, D.O. (1996). Student-educator sex in clinical and counseling psychology doctoral training. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 27, 93-97.

Handlesman, M.M., Gottlieb, M.C., & Knapp, S.C. (2005). Training ethical psychologists: An acculturation model. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 36, 93-97.

Hardy, E. A. (2011). Clinical and counseling psychology graduate student and postdoctorate supervisees' perceptions and experiences of boundary crossings and boundary violations in the supervisory relationship (Doctoral dissertation).

Harrar, W.R., VandeCreek, L., & Knapp, S. (1990). Ethical and legal aspects of clinical supervision. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*.

Harris, E. (2003, September). *Legal and ethical risks and risk management in professional practice: Sequence I*. Symposium conducted at the Minnesota Psychological Association, St. Paul, MN.

Hawkins, P., & Shohet, R. (2006). *Supervision in the helping professions*. (3rd ed.) New York, NY

Hensley, L.G., Smith, S.L., & Thompson, R.W. (2003). Assessing competencies of counselors-in-training: Complexities in evaluating personal and professional development. *Counselor Education and Supervision*, 42(3), 219-230.

Inman, A.G. & Ladany, N. (2008). Research the state of the field. In A.K. Hess, K.D. Hess, and T.H. Hess (Eds.), *Psychotherapy supervision: Theory, research, and practice* (2nd ed., pp. 500-520) Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Johnson, M.T. (1995). Case examples of supervisor liability. *Monitor*, 26, 15.

Johnson, W.B., Forrest, L., Rodolfa, E., Elman, N.S., Robiner, W.N., & Schaffer, J. (2008). Addressing professional competence problems in trainees: Some ethical considerations. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 39, 589-599.

Kaufman, G. & Riva, M.T. (2021). *Exploring the types of microaggressions that occur in group supervision*. American Psychological Association Conference, San Diego, Virtual.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

156

- Kaiser, T. (1997). *Supervisory relationships: Exploring the human element*. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Kitchner, K.S. (1988). Dual role relationships: What makes them so problematic? *Journal of Counseling and Development*, 67, 217 – 221.
- Koocher, G.P., & Keith-Speigel, P. (1998). *Ethics in psychology: Professional standards and cases*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Lamb, D.H., & Catanzaro, S.J. (1998). Sexual and non-sexual boundary violations involving psychologists, clients, supervisees, and students: Implications for professional practice. *Professional Psychology Research and Practice*.
- Ladany, N., Lehrman-Waterman, D., Molinaro, M., & Wolgast, B. (1999). Psychotherapy supervisor ethical practices: Adherence to guidelines. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 27, 443-475.
- Ladany, N., Friedlander, M.L., & Nelson, M.L. (2005). *Critical events in psychotherapy supervision: An interpersonal approach*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Lazarus, A.A., & Zur, O. (2002). *Dual relationships in psychotherapy*. New York: Springer.
- Lehrman-Watterman, D., & Ladany, N. (2001). Development and validation of the evaluation process within the supervision inventory. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 48(2), 168-177.
- Lohani, G., & Sharma, P. (2023). Effects of clinical supervision on self-awareness and self-efficacy of psychotherapists and counselors: A systematic review. *Psychological Services* 20(2), 291-299.
- Lowe, S.M. (2010). Sharing wisdom: Ethnic-minority supervisor perspective. *Training and Education in Professional Psychology*, 4, 3-69.
- McCarty, D.L., Christian, D.D., & Stefurak, T. (2023). Adlerian informed supervision: Protecting counselors from burnout and improving client outcomes in the juvenile justice system. *Psychological Services*, 20(2), 318-325.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

157

- Milne, D., Sheikh, A., Pattison, S., & Wilkinson, A. (2011). Evidence-based training for clinical Supervisors: A systematic review of 11 controlled studies. *The Clinical Supervisor*, 30: 1, 53-71.
- NASW (1994) *Guidelines for Clinical Social Work Supervision*.
- Navin, S., Beamish, P., & Johnason, G. (1995). Ethical practices of field-based mental health counselors. *Journal of Mental Health Counseling*, 17, 243-253.
- Nelson, M.L., & Freidlander, M.L. (2001). A close look at conflictual supervisory relationships: The trainee's perspective. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 48(4), 384-395.
- Pope, K.S., & Vasquez, M.J.T. (1998). *Ethics in psychotherapy and counseling*. San Francisco: Jossey- Bass.
- Reaves, R.P. (1998). *Avoiding liability in mental health practice*. Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards
- Recupero, P.R. & Rainey, S.E. (2007). Liability and risk management in outpatient psychotherapy supervision. *Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry Law*, 35(2), 188-195.)
- Remley, T.P., & Herlihy, B. (2005). *Ethical, legal, and professional issues in counseling*. Upper Saddle River, NJ Merrill/Prentice Hall.
- Saccuzzo, D. (1997). Law and psychology, *California Law Review*, 34(115), 1-37.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.

158

Saccuzzo, D. (2002). *The Psychologist's Legal Update # 13: Liability for failure to supervise adequately: Let the master beware (Part 1)*. National Register of Health Service Providers in Psychology.

Stoltenberg, C.D., & McNeil, B.W. (1998). *IDM supervision: An integrated developmental model for supervising counselors and therapists*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass
Stoltenberg, C.D., & McNeil, B.W. (2009). *IDM supervision: An integrated developmental model for supervising counselors and therapists (3rd ed.)*. New York, NY: Routledge.

Storm, C.L., & Todd, T.C. (1997). *The reasonably complete systemic supervisor resource guide*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Stromberg, C. & Dellinger, A. (1993). Malpractice and other professional liability. *The Psychologist Legal Update*.

Tuckman, B.W., & Jensen, M.A. (1977). Stages of small group development revisited. *Group and Organizational Studies*, 2, 419-427.

Yorke, V. (2005). Bion's "vertex" as a supervisory object. In C. Driver & E. Martin (Eds.), *Supervision and the analytic attitude* (pp.34-49). London, England: Whurr Publishers.

Wall, A. (2009). Psychology interns' perceptions of supervisor ethical behavior. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 70, 3799

Watkins, C.E., Jr. (1997). *Handbook of psychotherapy supervision*. New York: Wiley.

Watkins, C.E., Hook, J.N., & Owen, J. (2022). Extending multicultural orientation to group supervision of psychotherapy. *Practice Innovations*, 7(3), 255-267.

@George B. Haarman, Psy.D.